

Study of Product-Based Learning Approach in Designing Electronics Systems Curricula

Bharatbhai Pravinbhai Navadiya
Electrical Engineer, Svtronics Inc.
bharatnavadiya96@gmail.com

Abstract

Product-Based Learning (PBL) is currently acknowledged as one of the most effective approaches in the development of curriculum in the electronics systems education. This methodology alters the dynamics where instead of conventional teacher-centered classroom lectures, the organization approaches learning in an applications-centered way. In Electronics systems, knowledge is constructed through affecting and being affected by the context and PBL enables the students to come up with new electronic products, to implement them, and to modify them through practicing. Thus, lesson-by-lesson adoption of theoretical section and its immediate application in solving practical problems helps the students gain a better hold on the base, including topic like circuit design, signal processing and system integration. It not only develops specific technical knowledge but also problem-solving skills and critical thinking along with team-work as the designers turn into engineers to solve the project problems. The goal of this paper is to introduce a product-based learning approach that enables engineering students to develop their skills in electronics systems design. Using real-world projects, students can gain hands-on experience and improve their problem-solving capabilities. The study also explores the various advantages of this approach, such as its ability to retain students' knowledge and improve their engagement.

Keywords: Product-based learning, Electronics systems, Engineering education, Curriculum design, Hands-on experience, Innovation.

I. Introduction

The rapid evolution of technology and increasing complexity in electronic systems have prompted the need for an updated engineering education. Unfortunately, traditional methods of teaching do not provide enough emphasis on real-world application and knowledge transfer [1]. There is a dire need for educational systems that can provide hands-on experiences and theoretical knowledge in addition to fostering creativity, critical thinking, and problem-solving capabilities [2]. A product-based learning approach can address this issue.

Using product-based learning, students can gain a deeper understanding of the world of work by participating in real-world projects that are closely aligned with industry standards. This method helps pupils bridge the gap between what they learn in class and what they will encounter in the real world [3].

Key Components of PBL

1. Real-World Projects:

- Through projects that simulate real-world engineering problems, students develop a deeper understanding of complex concepts.
- The projects are chosen based on the latest technological advancements and industry trends, which ensures that the students tackle the most relevant problems.

2. Hands-On Experience:

- Using product-based learning (PBL), students can develop their practical skills in the design, development, and deployment of products.
- Through this method, students will have the opportunity to gain exposure to various industry-standard tools and methodologies, which will help

them improve their technical abilities and prepare them for employment.

3. Innovative Mindset:

- Through challenging projects, learners are encouraged to think outside the box and develop novel approaches.
- The ability to develop products iteratively fosters a mindset that encourages constant adaptation and advancement. This is very important in today's fast-changing technological environment.

4. Collaborative Learning:

- Projects in Product Based Learning (PBL) are designed to encourage teamwork and collaboration. They are often conducted in groups to simulate real-world engineering setups.
- Through these projects, students develop the necessary soft skills to be successful in their chosen field. These include communication and team building.

Benefits of PBL in Electronics Systems Education

- Students become more engaged and motivated by seeing how their studies can benefit them. They also become more involved in the creation of tangible products.
- Through the hands-on approach of Product Based Learning, students can develop a wide range of skills, including critical thinking and technical proficiency.
- Graduates are well-equipped to handle the challenges of today's workforce with practical knowledge of how to formulate effective strategies.
- Project-based learning places emphasis on creativity and innovation, which helps pupils develop their ability to come up with novel solutions to challenging problems.

Through PBL, which involves using real-world projects, engineering students can improve their knowledge and skills to meet the industry's evolving needs [4]. This approach aligns with the industry's requirements and helps prepare the next batch of engineers.

II. Literature Review

In engineering education, traditional methods have long been focused on developing students' theoretical understanding. These include examinations, lectures, and study materials. They are intended to help pupils grasp essential principles and concepts. While it is important to develop theoretical knowledge, traditional education tends to focus on analytical methods and concepts instead of practical applications [5]. For instance, laboratory sessions are usually very structured and do not provide a realistic representation of the world of engineering. Most graduates are not prepared to handle the demands of the industry immediately after they're released from university. This is because they lack exposure to real-world problems and hands-on experience.

Through project-based learning, students can bridge the gap between what they're learning and what they need. This type of learning involves working together to solve problems. The goal of PBL is to develop communication and collaboration skills among students through challenging projects that are usually multi-disciplinary. These projects can last for several weeks or months, and they allow for extensive development [6]. Through projects, students can improve their problem-solving abilities by applying their theoretical knowledge. This also helps them develop their critical thinking skills [9], [11].

Projects are known to be more engaging than lectures due to the hands-on nature of their tasks, which makes them more likely to spark student engagement. They are also more likely to be motivated by the practical implications of their work.

A product-based learning approach goes beyond the traditional project-based learning methods by focusing on the creation of tangible goods. This approach is very similar to the engineering process and provides students with the necessary skills to succeed in the industry. Unlike PjBL, which may focus more on conceptual or academic projects, PBL requires students to develop functional or physical products. This includes the design, ideation, and prototyping stages [12].

Through this program, students gain a deeper understanding of the product lifecycle and the various challenges that they will face in their future careers. This includes the time and resources constraints that they will face in their professional lives. Through product development, students are encouraged to develop an innovative mindset and

think critically, which will help them solve problems. It can also inspire them to think entrepreneurially, as they may have to consider how their products can be used in the marketplace [13].

Due to the increasing number of project- and product-based learning programs being offered in engineering education, the learning style has changed significantly [14]-[16]. While traditional methods are still widely used, they often leave gaps that can prevent students from being ready for the industry. The benefits of product-based learning are built on the idea that it parallels the engineering process. It also encourages students to think entrepreneurially and develop an innovative mindset. This type of learning is very important for engineering students as it prepares them for the future technological landscape.

III. Methodology

Through product-based learning, pupils can improve their comprehension of electronic systems by gaining hands-on experience and skills. This approach includes a variety of components that are designed to provide a comprehensive learning experience [17].

1. Course Structure

Courses Divided into Modules:

- The initial phase of ideation involves the creation and evaluation of ideas. During this phase, learners will learn how to formulate and evaluate ideas, identify problems, and conceptualize novel approaches. This course aims to foster critical thinking and creativity.
- Through the design phase, students will translate their ideas into tangible plans. They will then create schematic designs, select components, and utilize design software to create their finished products. This course emphasizes precision and technical proficiency.
- Through the prototyping phase, students will build functional or physical models of their ideas. This course introduces them to various manufacturing processes and tools. It also gives them with a greater understanding of problem-solving and practical skills.
- Through the testing phase, students will be able to ensure that their prototype meets the design specifications. This course introduces them to

various methods and techniques used in the evaluation process.

- The deployment phase involves preparing the finished product for use in a live setting. Students will analyse factors such as scalability and user experience to determine its readiness for market. This course is designed to provide a comprehensive understanding of the project.

Incorporation of Interdisciplinary Projects:

Projects are made to simulate real-world situations by bringing together the knowledge of various engineering disciplines. This approach highlights how interconnected today's engineering problems are. It encourages students to collaborate across disciplines.

2. Project Selection

Based on Current Industry Trends and Technological Advancements:

Through these projects, students are exposed to the latest industry and technology trends [18]. This helps them develop the knowledge and skills that will allow them to excel in their future careers.

Complexity and Multi-Faceted Approach:

Projects are designed with a multi-faceted approach that encourages students to think critically and develop deeper problem-solving skills. By working on such projects, students can improve their resilience and knowledge of engineering issues.

3. Assessment Criteria

Continuous Assessment through Project Milestones:

Throughout the project's lifecycle, students are evaluated according to key milestones [19]. These include ideation, design, testing, and prototyping. This ensures that they receive ongoing feedback, which helps them improve their work [20].

Evaluation Based on Individual and Team Performance:

The assessments consider both team dynamics and individual contributions. For instance, the individual evaluation evaluates the student's creativity and technical skills, while

the team assessment evaluates their communication skills and ability to work well together. This dual-focused approach ensures that the two categories are fairly represented.

Final Assessment:

In the final evaluation, the student will present their working prototype and receive feedback from an industry expert panel. The objective of this evaluation is to assess the product's innovation, market potential, and functionality. In addition, it aims to evaluate the student's ability to articulate the significance of their work.

IV. Results and Discussion

The product-based learning method was evaluated using various metrics, such as post-graduation outcomes and student feedback. The key findings are presented below.

1. Enhanced Engagement

According to surveys and interviews, the people who participated in Project Based Learning found it more stimulating and engaging than traditional methods. The ability to work on real-world challenges provided them with an exciting and relevant learning experience.

Project-based learning's hands-on nature and the tangible results of their projects were powerful factors that boosted student motivation. They were more engaged in their work and knew that their efforts would yield functional products.

The practical and interactive nature of Project Based Learning made it an ideal way for students to actively participate in their classes. They were eager to share their ideas and contribute to discussions.

The Project-based learning framework provided a conducive learning environment that encouraged regular collaboration and participation by students.

2. Improved Skill Set

Through complicated projects, pupils were able to apply their knowledge of theoretical subjects to real-world problems, leading to improvements in their critical thinking and analytical abilities.

The iterative nature of the product development process, which involved repeated testing, helped pupils improve their

problem-solving skills. They were able to identify and analyze causes of problems and come up with effective solutions.

Through the hands-on learning experience, the pupils gained a deeper understanding of how the industry uses technology. This involved using design software, testing equipment, and prototyping tools.

The emphasis placed on the creation of tangible products provided learners with the necessary skills that can be utilized in the workplace. This experiential learning method ensured that students' theoretical capabilities were not just sound but also practical.

3. Industry Readiness

The goal of the project-based learning approach is to provide students with a realistic view of the world of work. This allows them to develop their skills and confidence in their abilities to succeed in a competitive environment [21]-[23].

According to data collected after graduation, those who participated in project-based learning programs were more likely to land positions that matched their interests and studies.

Through the collaboration of industry experts and the incorporation of relevant projects, the students' skills were aligned with the market's needs [24]-[26].

Most of the employers who hired individuals from Project-Based Learning programs were positive about their skills and the experience they gained. They noted that these individuals were able to immediately hit the ground running and did not require much training or onboarding.

V. Conclusion

The integration of a Product-Based Learning concept in a design of a curricula in electronics systems is a major evolution in engineering learning. Thus, the main advantages of PBL identified include practical emphasis, hands-on experience, and interdisciplinarity, which allow real-life connections to be made. This way not only helps in the students improving their technical skills and expertise but also they are able to develop skills like teamwork, communication and problem solving skills are their values for existing job markets. The challenge will always be there for the educators to further enhance and develop the PBL models

for the electronics systems education to come up with more graduates that are not only knowledgeable with the fundamental concepts and theories but also equipped with the skills to create constructive changes and align with the rapid advancements in the industry. Therefore, PBL is recognized as one of the foundation stones in the formation of the direction of electronics systems education to make students the professional engineers and innovative thinkers of their fields.

The design of electronics systems education curricula emphasizes the use of product-based learning, which provides a framework that integrates theoretical knowledge with hands-on experience. This approach enables students to develop the necessary skills to succeed in the modern engineering environment. It also bridges the gap between industry and academia.

References

- [1]. Blumenfeld, P. C., Soloway, E., Marx, R. W., Krajcik, J. S., Guzdial, M., & Palincsar, A. (1991). Motivating project-based learning: Sustaining the doing, supporting the learning. *Educational psychologist*, 26(3-4), 369-398.
- [2]. Savery, J. R. (2006). Overview of problem-based learning: Definitions and distinctions. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, 1(1), 9-20.
- [3]. Barrows, H. S. (1996). Problem-based learning in medicine and beyond: A brief overview. *New directions for teaching and learning*, 1996(68), 3-12.
- [4]. Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2004). Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn?. *Educational psychology review*, 16, 235-266.
- [5]. Vyas, Meghana. (2023). Traditional Learning Students insights. 13. 3986-3995. 10.47750/pnr.2022.13.S07.502.
- [6]. Thomas, J. W. (2000). A review of research on project-based learning. San Rafael, CA: Autodesk Foundation.
- [7]. Sylviani, Dewi. (2020). Product Based Learning. 10.13140/RG.2.2.21208.01282.
- [8]. Kurniawan, Elfahmi & Nopriyanti, Nopriyanti & Darlius,. (2020). Product-Based Learning to Improve Entrepreneurial Spirit of Students. 10.2991/assehr.k.201230.186.
- [9]. Barron, B. J., Schwartz, D. L., Vye, N. J., Moore, A., Petrosino, A., Zech, L., & Bransford, J. D. (1998). Doing with understanding: Lessons from research on problem-and project-based learning. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 7(3-4), 271-311.
- [10]. Albanese, M. A., & Mitchell, S. (1993). Problem-based learning: A review of literature on its outcomes and implementation issues. *Academic Medicine*, 68(1), 52-81.
- [11]. Larmer, J., Mergendoller, J. R., & Boss, S. (2015). Setting the standard for project-based learning: A proven approach to rigorous classroom instruction. ASCD.
- [12]. Macias-Guarasa, Javier & Montero, Juan & San-Segundo, Rubén & Araujo, Alvaro & Nieto-Taladriz, Octavio. (2006). A Project-Based Learning Approach to Design Electronic Systems Curricula. *Education, IEEE Transactions on*. 49. 389 - 397. 10.1109/TE.2006.879784.
- [13]. Srivastava, Pankaj Kumar, and Anil Kumar Jakkani. "FPGA Implementation of Pipelined 8×8 2-D DCT and IDCT Structure for H. 264 Protocol." *2018 3rd International Conference for Convergence in Technology (I2CT)*. IEEE, 2018.
- [14]. Skliarova, I. Project-Based Learning and Evaluation in an Online Digital Design Course. *Electronics* 2021, 10, 646, doi: 10.3390/electronics10060646
- [15]. Mohd-Yasin, F. Effective Strategies for Project-Based Learning of Practical Electronics. *Electronics* 2021, 10, 2245. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics10182245>
- [16]. Srivastava, P. Kumar, and A. Kumar Jakkani. "Android Controlled Smart Notice Board using IoT." *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 120.6 (2018): 7049-7059.
- [17]. Frank, M., Lavy, I. & Elata, D. Implementing the Project-Based Learning Approach in an Academic Engineering Course. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education* 13, 273–288 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026192113732>
- [18]. Srivastava, P. K., and Anil Kumar Jakkani. "Non-linear Modified Energy Detector (NMED) for Random Signals in Gaussian Noise of Cognitive Radio." *International Conference on Emerging Trends and Advances in Electrical Engineering and Renewable Energy*. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2020.
- [19]. Chen, J., Kolmos, A., & Du, X. (2020). Forms of implementation and challenges of PBL in engineering education: a review of literature. *European Journal of Engineering*

- Education*, 46(1), 90–115.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03043797.2020.1718615>
- [20]. Rahmawati, Anis, Suryani, Nunuk, Akhyar, Muhammad and Sukarmin,. "Technology-Integrated Project-Based Learning for Pre-Service Teacher Education: A Systematic Literature Review" *Open Engineering*, vol. 10, no. 1, 2020, pp. 620-629. <https://doi.org/10.1515/eng-2020-0069>
- [21]. Mistry, Hirenkumar Kamleshbhai, Chirag Mavani, Amit Goswami, and Ripalkumar Patel. "The Impact Of Cloud Computing And Ai On Industry Dynamics And Competition." *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice* 30, no. 7 (2024): 797-804.
- [22]. Mistry, Hirenkumar Kamleshbhai, Chirag Mavani, Amit Goswami, and Ripalkumar Patel. "Artificial Intelligence For Networking." *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice* 30, no. 7 (2024): 813-821.
- [23]. Mistry, Hirenkumar Kamleshbhai, Chirag Mavani, Amit Goswami, and Ripalkumar Patel. "A Survey Visualization Systems For Network Security." *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice* 30, no. 7 (2024): 805-812.
- [24]. Patel, Ripalkumar, Amit Goswami, Hiren Kumar Kamleshbhai Mistry, and Chirag Mavani. "Cognitive Computing For Decision Support Systems: Transforming Decision-Making Processes." *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice* 30, no. 6 (2024): 1216-1221.
- [25]. Patel, Ripalkumar, Amit Goswami, Hirenkumar Kamleshbhai Mistry, and Chirag Mavani. "Application Layer Security For Cloud." *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice* 30, no. 6 (2024): 1193-1198.
- [26]. kumar Patel, Ripal, Amit Goswami, Hirenkumar Kamleshbhai Mistry, and Chirag Mavani. "Cloud-Based Identity And Fraud Solutions Analytics." *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice* 30, no. 6 (2024): 1188-1192.