ISSN: 2321-8169
173 - 179

Failure Investigation & Analysis of Agricultural 9 Tyne Cultivator Used In Various Soil Condition

Umesh N. Galat
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Shri Shankar Prasad Agnihotri College of Engineering
Wardha (MS) India.

Ajay N. Ingale
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Datta Meghe Institute of engineering & research
Wardha (MS) India.

Abstract: The process optimization in advance Cultivator tool system conceptually designed, fabricated and failure Investigation & Analysis by computer aided engineering analysis techniques. The Software testing a field performance is taken in the soil bed preparation as well as in the various crop patterns. It was found most use full in obtaining high weed removal efficiency.

The Computer aided design is created and tested with actual field condition parameters and found a maximum von misses stress noted 138 N/mm^2 without plastic strain in the model. The revised design specifications are decided after confirmation in software testing.

The precision geometry, optimum energy utilization, multi-operational design, easy transport and flexible attachments are some of the features which results in achieving some of the important parameters such as width of cut Max.18cm &Min. 8cm, depth of cut Max.17cm and Min.8cm, Speed of operation 4.2km/hr. Field capacity 0.42ha/hr. and Field efficiency 78%. Theoretical field capacity 0.33ha/hr. Theoretical draft 6.5kN.Cost of operation $12//ha. (Rs. 597.6/ha)

Keywords: *flexible cultivator tool, sweep cultivator, cad-model, structural analysis, weed removal efficiency, von misses stress.*

*****

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1Cultivetors Tools:

Culturing apparatuses are straightforwardly vitality into the dirt to bring about some craved impact, for example, cutting, breaking, reversal or development of soil. Soil is exchanged from a beginning condition to an alternate last condition by this procedure. Soil working instruments, for example, mold board furrows, circle furrows and edges have for quite some time been acknowledged and effectively utilized by agriculturist under normal field condition. Seedbed readiness enormously contributes towards the general expense of ranch operations, utilizing that noteworthy reserve funds are conceivable through enhanced outline and advancement of culturing hardware.

Essential and optional soil control is the fundamental operation required for development of any sort of product. Soil controlling instruments ought to withstand antagonistic field conditions, for example, the vicinity of a hardpan, little rough arrangements stumps stable amid soil engagement without disappointment. Soil working instruments, for example, mold board furrows, plate furrows and ridger has for some time been acknowledged and effectively utilized by ranchers under normal field conditions.

The duck foot compass is another sort of soil connecting with device that is mainstream amongst agriculturists for auxiliary field operations on account of its huge wing width, which causes better scope of soil control between two wrinkles.

Cultivators groups such as small, farm, field and row types can be described as **A) Small cultivators**: it is used for gardening, powered by small motors, and controlled by an operator walking behind. Garden cultivators can be used to mix soils with manures and fertilizers in preparation for planting

B) Farm cultivators: A tractor-mounted tiller Cultivators are pulled by tractors and can vary greatly in size and shape, from 10 feet (3 m) to 80 feet (24 m) wide. Many are equipped with hydraulic wings that fold up to make road travel easier and safer.

C) Field cultivator: Field cultivators are utilized to finish culturing operations in numerous sorts of arable harvests fields. The principle capacity of the field cultivator is to set up an appropriate seedbed for the harvest to be planted into, to cover yield buildup in the dirt (warming the dirt before planting), to control weeds, and to blend and join the dirt to guarantee the developing product has enough water and supplements to develop well amid the developing season.

D) Row crop cultivator: The main function of the row crop cultivator is weed control between the rows of an established crop.

- Types of cultivator on the basis of geometrical features

Disc cultivator: It is cultivator fitted with disc.

Tine cultivator: It is a type fitted with tines having blades.

Rotary cultivator: It is a cultivator with tines or blades mounted on a power driven-horizontal shaft. Depending upon type of power available for the implements, the cultivator can be classified as: ***1.Tractor drawn 2.Animal drawn***.

a) Trailed type cultivator: It consists of a main frame which carries a number of cross members to which tines are fitted. A pair of wheel is provided in the cultivator. The lift is operated by both wheels simultaneously so that draft remains even and uniform.

b) Mounted cultivator: Tractors fitted with hydraulic lift operate the mounted type cultivators.

c). Cultivator with spring loaded tines: A tine hinged to the frame and loaded with a spring so that it swings back when an obstacle is encountered, is called spring loaded tine.

d) Cultivator with rigid tines: Rigid tines of the cultivator are those tines which do not deflect during the work in the field.

e) Duck foot cultivator: It is type of rigid cultivator which is used mostly for shallow ploughing, destruction of weed and retention of moisture.

f) Animal drawn cultivator: Depending upon local conditions, soil and climate, different types of cultivators have been designed and are being used extensively throughout country. Three tined cultivators with seeding attachment are popular in some part of the country.

1.2. Nomenclature of various components of tillage tool:

1.2.1. Tyne:-The three types of tynes are used for the testing of the flexible cultivator. The tynes are S, C and L types. The thickness of tynes is 17.44 mm and height is 450mm.

1.2.2. Shovel:-The plane tooth shovel, saw tooth shovel, step tooth shovel, reversible shovel, and harrow type shovel are used for the testing purpose.

1.2.3. Frame:-The elliptical frame is for the flexible tillage tool cultivator. These frames minimize the breakage in the frame.

1.2.4. Hitching Arrangement:-The three point linkage is used for the hitching arrangement.

1.2.5. Ground wheel:-The use of the ground wheel for clods breaking. The diameter of wheel is 31centimeter & thickness is 43.01mm; thickness of teeth is 6mm no. of teeth on wheel is 19 diameter of wheel plate fixture are 23mm.

1.3. Sweep Cultivator:-

1. **Frame**: is made up of steel flat usually of size 180 x 60 cm.clevis arrangement help to hitch cultivator.
2. **Tines**: is made up of mild steel flat having carbon content from 0.15 to 0.25 %. A flat of usually 100 x 20mm. and height from ground is 45 cm.
3. **Shovel**: is of reversible type, width varies from 60mm, made of steel of carbon content 0.5 to 0.6 %.



1.4.The Concept of flexibility by flexible tillage tool operations influencing the following factors

1. **Distance** between tines as required according to row crop spacing.
2. **Types of Tynes** used as Shank for different Shovels by different angles.
3. **Types of Shovels** used as soil cutting tool geometry for soil bed preparation and weed control.

It is a cultivator in which distinctive part can be amassed with custom necessities of hardware administrations amid culturing operation. The circular casing with openings indicating the separations between the tynes to be organized concurring the products .C, L and S sorts of tines can be appended to curved edges. The Shovels, for example, triangular, triangular with Saw tooth, triangular with step tooth, reversible and cutting edge harrow with saw tooth like distinctive geometry are the principle highlights of this proposition work.

1.5 Objectives of project work:-

1. The CAD-Model of conventional Cultivator configuration is to be created with programming testing report
2. To propose the extension in the configuration change to present the adaptable culturing apparatus cultivator with:

a) Distance separating change for column crop design.

b) Different sorts of tines, for example, S, C and L applications

c) Different sorts of scoop, for example, plane, saw tooth, step tooth, reversible, and sharp edge harrow and so forth.

3. Testing and assessment of adaptable cultivator by 18.5 and 45HP Tractors.

The Conceptual configuration see Fig.1.and its manufacture is chosen by utilizing outline testing programming .The accompanying geometric Solid model is to be created and tried**.**

ISSN: 2321-8169
173 - 179



Proposed-Model Fig.1

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Design of Flexible Tillage Tool Cultivator

Actual Dimensions of traditional Cultivator is taken while preparing CAD-Solid model

Frame size:180 x 60 cm Tines spacing :21 cm
Tine size: 45 x 10 x 2 cm shovel size :60 mm.

Experimental setup:

1. Pro-E software Server machine for CAD Model and Ansys software server machine for analysis
2. Measuring equipment such as venire scale, angle protector, UTM machine etc.
3. Existing model of CULTIVATOR of Krishi-Udyog for CAD-solid model preparation

The Procedure for CAD-Solid model preparation and its analysis is as follows :

1. The physical dimensions of the selected Cultivator model are drafted with its exact geometry.
2. It is verified with enclosure of areas, surfaces and volumes on it.
3. The Meshing is done with Tri or quad method to occupy the maximum geometric surfaces on the parts to create nodes for getting the optimum results of stresses.
4. The material specification, Young's modulus and other constant factors are assigned in the software.
5. The Boundary conditions are set by assigning the degree of freedom, axis wise moment condition and the prefix constants if any.
6. The loading condition such as the Soil resistance acting as resistive force against tyne, Frame and shovel if applied within frame structure of Cultivator.



Computer aided design and Engineering Analysis Cycle for traditional Sweep Cultivator

The simulation is done by taking actual field condition parameters *see Appendix-I* the report generated with max and min von misses stresses and deformations.

The CAD-Solid model of CULTIVATOR prepared in ANSYS or Pro-E software is tested for the structural analysis as per the field condition that

Max Von misses Stress =7.87 N/mm2
Maximum Displacement =8.371e-4.

The plot for different force conditions are as shown in above indicates the scope of design changes in various sections where from material can be removed to reduce the weight of the cultivator .

The failure diagnosis will help in the defining the geometry and various factors affecting the design of Cultivator.

The field test tool variables included rake angle to the horizontal of 12.5, 17.5 and 22.5°, working depths of 70, 110 and 150 mm and forward velocity of 1.08, 1.55 and 2.08 m sec^{-1}. The draft force in different trials varied from 42 to 202.5 daN.

2.2. Design of Flexible Tillage Tool Cultivator:

Cultivator consist the following main part are as follows:

a) Hitch arrangement d) leveler
b) Tyne e) Toothed wheel
c) Shovel f) Hitch arrangement

a. Hitch arrangement

A standard three-point hitch arrangement was design from 50x 10 mm M.S. flat for mounting the frame to the tractor three-point linkage. The plate thickness for top link is kept as 10 mm and two plates are spaced at 50 mm spacing attached to each other with a spacer in between them. This arrangement was decided by considering hitching system of different 18 HP tractors.

ISSN: 2321-8169
173 - 179

b. Frame

Frame is made up of mild steel. Frame is having C-channel elliptical type shape. A C-channel having dimension 3.5 x 7 x 3.5 cm. Frame is bent with the help of bending machine at one side and joined by gas welding. Holes (Φ=12mm) with different spacing are made with the help of drilling machine.

c. Tine

The tine assembly consisted on the periphery of the frame, it made up of mild steel flat having carbon content ranging from 0.15 to 0.25%. Tyne has 'L' & 'C'shape having angle 136^0 with vertical tool and46^0 with soil.
Five tines are attached to the frame with the tine fixture. Tines are fitted between two fixtures with the help of nut-bolt.

d. Shovel

d. i. Plane Shovel

Blade is made up from carbon steel. Triangular arrow shaped blades are used which actually cuts the soil. Three blades are used.

d. ii. Saw Tooth Shovel

These are made up of carbon steel. It has performed for cutting stem of weed which comes during cultivation.

d. iii. Reversible Shovel

These are made up of carbon steel. These are also use to cut the soil surface.

d. iv. Blade Harrow Shovel

These are made up of carbon steel. These are used to level the soil surface, so that the land becoming leveled and loss due to rain fall is reduces. And by leveling the soil with cultivator leveling operation is saved.

d. v. Step Tooth Shovel

These are made up of carbon steel. These type of shovels are use to remove the deep rooted weeds.

e. Toothed Wheel

These are made up from mild steel. Two toothed wheels having diameter 30 cm are attached on cultivator with fixture. It breaks the soil clods which come in travel.

f. Tyne fixture

Tine fixture are use to hold tines on the frame. They are support to tines. The tine fixtures not only supported to the tine but also balanced the implement.

The Procedure for CAD-Solid model preparation and its analysis is as mentioned above is done in CAD-analysis Software to obtain a von misses stresses and strains with the same field parameters .see the CAD analysis cycle below.



III. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The tillage operations introduces a quality parameters and development scope in obtaining a width of cut , depth of cut, Speed of operation, field capacity
field efficiency, field capacity, theoretical draft the rate of work, quality of work, draft measurement etc. The modification in traditional cultivator after fabrication and field testing leads the following observations:

1. The draft force are increased with increasing rake angles, forward velocity and working depth.
2. The soil inversion is increased with the width of the shovels.
3. The depth of the cut is more in the step tooth shovels.
4. The width of cut is more in the blade harrow shovels.

Flexible Cultivator breaks the clods and pulverized the soil. There are toothed blades on tines are used for remove the weeds and roots are come out. The selective design combinations of tillage tool introduces additional features .

1. Cultivator introduces elliptical frame with uniform homogeneous Section with only one joint in the structure
2. Tines can be adjustable by distance between subsequent tines, different type of shovels.
3. Shovels with tine combination can be made according to crop pattern. Step tooth triangular shovels have high weed removal efficiency.
4. Wheel used for breaking clods, smooth tillage operation, easy transport.
5. The flexibility counts with 24 possible combinations as mentioned in the Appendix-II

By field experiment it was seen that as follows:

- Maximum and minimum width of cut 18cm & 8cm.
- Maximum and minimum depth of cut 17cm & 8cm.
- Speed of operation = 4.2km/hr.

ISSN: 2321-8169
173 - 179

- Theoretical Field capacity = 0.42ha/hr.
- Field efficiency = 78%.
- Field capacity = 0.33ha/hr.
- Theoretical draft = 6.5kN.

The comparison between traditional and flexible tillage tool is given in table –I and also different combinations in Appx.-II.

Future scope

The flexible cultivator is fabricated and tested in the year 2010.The future scope for this secondary tillage implement are also below:

1.) The flexible cultivator with different combinations can be combined with seed cum fertilizer operation.

2.) The turmeric digger blade can be used in place of triangular blade for turmeric harvesting operation.

3.) The Whole assembly can be fixed by Pin-sockets so that assembling time can be minimized

4.) Flexible tillage tool can be also used by animal power

Appendix-I

This RESULT REPORT is generated in Pro-E (Mechanica) Software

---

Mechanica Structure Version L-01-41.xpg
Summary for Design Study "Copy_of_culti_ana_5"
Tue May 20, 2008 11:04:41

Run Settings
Memory allocation for block solver:
1024.0
Parallel Processing Status
Parallel task limit for current run:
2
Parallel task limit for current platform: 64
Number of processors detected automatically: 2
Checking the model before creating elements...
These checks take into account the fact that AutoGEM will automatically create elements in volumes with material properties, on surfaces with shell properties, and on curves with beam section properties. Generate elements automatically.
Checking the model after creating elements...
No errors were found in the model.
Mechanica Structure Model Summary
Principal System of Units: millimeter Newton Second (mmNs)

Length:	mm
Force:	N
Time:	sec
Temperature:	C
Model Type:	Three Dimensional
Points:	376
Edges:	1348
Faces:	1568
Springs:	0
Masses:	0
Beams:	0
Shells:	0
Solids:	596
Elements:	596

Standard Design Study, Static Analysis "Copy_of_culti_ana_5":

Convergence Method: Single-Pass Adaptive
Plotting Grid: 4
Convergence Loop Log:
(11:04:43)

```
>> Pass 1 <<
    Calculating Element Equations
(11:04:43)
      Total Number of Equations:        10032
      Maximum Edge Order:                   3
    Solving Equations                (11:04:43)
    Post-Processing Solution         (11:04:44)
    Checking Convergence
(11:04:44)
    Resource Check                   (11:04:45)
      Elapsed Time    (sec):     5.03
      CPU Time        (sec):     4.02
      Memory Usage    (kb):   1062840
      Wrk Dir Dsk Usage (kb):    11305

>> Pass 2 <<
    Calculating Element Equations
(11:04:45)
      Total Number of Equations:        15762
      Maximum Edge Order:                   7
    Solving Equations                (11:04:46)
    Post-Processing Solution         (11:04:47)
    Checking Convergence             (11:04:47)
    Calculating Disp and Stress Results(11:04:48)

    RMS Stress Error Estimates:

    Load Set        Stress Error  % of Max Prin
Str
    ----------  ----------  ----------
  LoadSet1        9.19e-01    10.4% of 8.81e+00

    Resource Check                   (11:04:49)
      Elapsed Time    (sec):                9.50
      CPU Time        (sec):                8.36
      Memory Usage    (kb):           1072052
      Wrk Dir Dsk Usage (kb):           21545

     Total Mass of Model:  2.689382e-04
     Total Cost of Model:  0.000000e+00

    Mass Moments of Inertia about WCS Origin:

      Ixx:  2.39012e-01
      Ixy: -3.20215e-10  Iyy:  1.13154e+00
      Ixz: -2.37684e-10  Iyz: -3.06899e-02  Izz:
9.95822e-01
   Principal MMOI and Principal Axes Relative to
WCS Origin:
      Max Prin        Mid Prin        Min Prin
 1.13816e+00     9.89205e-01     2.39012e-01
 WCS X: -2.92415e-10     -3.99679e-10
1.00000e+00
  WCS Y:  9.77536e-01     2.10770e-01
3.70087e-10
  WCS Z: -2.10770e-01     9.77536e-01
3.29068e-10

Center of Mass Location Relative to WCS Origin:
```

ISSN: 2321-8169
173 - 179


(-7.98802e-10, 6.74014e+00, 4.82096e+00)

Mass Moments of Inertia about the Center of Mass:
Ixx: 2.20543e-01
Iyy: -3.21663e-10 Iyy: 1.12529e+00
Izz: -2.38720e-10 Izx: -2.19510e-02
Izz: 9.83604e-01

Principal MMOI and Principal Axes Relative to COM:
Max Prin Mid Prin Min Prin
1.12861e+00 9.80281e-01 2.20543e-01

WCS X: -3.10890e-10 -3.74043e-10 1.00000e+00
WCS Y: 9.88736e-01 1.49671e-01 3.63371e-10
WCS Z: -1.49671e-01 9.88736e-01 3.23299e-10

Constraint Set: ConstraintSet1: **CULTIVATOR**
Load Set: LoadSet1: CULTIVATOR

Resultant Load on Model:
in global X direction: -3.880420e-13
in global Y direction: 1.266001e-14
in global Z direction: 6.867000e+00

Measures:

max_beam_bending:	0.000000e+00
max_beam_tensile:	0.000000e+00
max_beam_torsion:	0.000000e+00
max_beam_total:	0.000000e+00
max_disp_mag:	8.371072e-04
max_disp_x:	1.195327e-04
max_disp_y:	-2.435034e-04
max_disp_z:	8.346681e-04
max_prin_mag:	-8.806019e+00
max_rot_mag:	0.000000e+00
max_rot_x:	0.000000e+00
max_rot_y:	0.000000e+00
max_rot_z:	0.000000e+00
max_stress_prin:	8.190232e+00
max_stress_vm:	7.869850e+00
max_stress_xx:	-2.385657e+00
max_stress_xy:	3.786511e+00
max_stress_xz:	-1.584193e+00
max_stress_yy:	-6.426477e+00
max_stress_yz:	1.484521e+00
max_stress_zz:	-2.899898e+00
min_stress_prin:	-8.806019e+00
strain_energy:	1.954048e-03

Analysis "Copy_of_culti_ana_5" Completed.
(11:04:49)


Memory and Disk Usage:

Machine Type: Windows NT/x86
RAM Allocation for Solver (megabytes): 1024.0

Total Elapsed Time (seconds): 9.72
Total CPU Time (seconds): 8.58
Maximum Memory Usage (kilobytes): 1072052
Working Directory Disk Usage (kilobytes): 21545

Results Directory Size (kilobytes): 7218 .\Copy_of_culti_ana_5

Maximum Data Base Working File Sizes (kilobytes):
18432 .\Copy_of_culti_ana_5.tmp\cell.bas
3072 .\Copy_of_culti_ana_5.tmp\cell1.bas

Run Completed
Tue May 20, 2008 11:04:49

Appendix-II

Sr. no.		Type of tine used	Types of shovels used	Combinations
1	'L'	5T1	3 S1-2 S2	5T1-3 S1-2 S2
2.		5T1	5 S1	5T1-5 S1
3.		5T1	3 S3-2 S1	5T1-3 S3-2 S1
4.		5T1	3 S1-1 S4	5T1-3 S1-1 S4
5.		5T1	3 S3-2 S2	5T1-3 S3-2 S2
6.		5T1	3 S3-1 S4	5T1-3 S3-1 S4
7.	'C'	5T2	3 S1-2 S2	5T2-3 S1-2 S2
8.		5T2	5 S1	5T2-5 S1
9.		5T2	3 S3-2 S1	5T2-3 S3-2 S1
10.		5T2	3 S1-1 S4	5T2-3 S1-1 S4
11.		5T2	3 S3-2 S2	5T2-3 S3-2 S2
12.		5T2	3 S3-1 S4	5T2-3 S3-1 S4
13.	'L' & 'C'	2T1-3T2	3 S3-1 S4	2T1-3T2-3 S3-1 S4
14.		2T1-3T2	3 S1-2 S2	2T1-3T2-3 S1-2 S2
15.		2T1-3T2	5 S1	2T1-3T2-5 S1
16.		2T1-3T2	3 S3-2 S1	2T1-3T2-3 S3-2 S1
17.		2T1-3T2	3 S1-1 S4	2T1-3T2-3 S1-1 S4
18.		2T1-3T2	3 S3-2 S2	2T1-3T2-3 S3-2 S2
19.		2T2-3T1	3 S1-2 S2	2T2-3T13 S1-2 S2
20.		2T2-3T1	5 S1	2T2-3T1-5 S1
21.		2T2-3T1	3 S3-2 S1	2T2-3T1-3 S3-2 S1
22.		2T2-3T1	3 S1-1 S4	2T2-3T1-3 S1-1 S4
23.		2T2-3T1	3 S3-2 S2	2T2-3T1-3 S3-2 S2
24.		2T2-3T1	3 S3-1 S4	2T2-3T1-3 S3-1 S4

Appendix-III

ISSN: 2321-8169
173 - 179

Sr. No.	Sweep-Cultivator	
	Traditional	Flexible Cultivator(F.T.T.)
1	Rectangular Frame with Four weld Joints	Elliptical Frame with continuous cross section and single weld joint
2	Permanent structure with the only provision of shovel exchange	Flexible structure with the provision of tine, shovel and adjustable distance between tines
3	Tines are fixed with permanent weld joints or nuts and blots	Different types of Tines can be joined in the slots provided in the frame
4	Fail-break design	Fail-safe design with fuse bolts
5	Two type possible combinations	Twenty Four type possible combinations
6	Weed removal efficiency is low during soil bed preparation or row crop tillage operations	Weed removal efficiency is high during soil bed preparation or row crop tillage operations
Fig.		

REFERENCES

[1] Quirke, S., Scheffler, O., Allen, C., 1988. An evaluation of the wear behavior of metallic materials subjected to soil abrasion. Soil and Till. Res. 11

[2] Gill, W.R., and G.E. Vanden Berg. (1996). ―Design of tillage tool. In soil dynamics in tillage and traction‖.211-294. Washington, D.C.,U.S.GPO

[3] Godwin, R.J. (1982).―Force measurement on tillage implements‖. 9th Conference of the International soil Tillage Research organization, Osijek,

[4] Jain, S.C., and Philip (2003). ―Farm machinery an approach‖, standard publishers distributors Delhi pp. 5-7, 21, 27.

[5] Kosutic, S., D Filipovic, and Z Gospodaric. (1996).―Rotary Cultivator Energy Requirement Influenced by Different Constructional Characteristics, Velocity and Depth of Tillage‖. Poljoprivredna-Znanstvena-Somatra, vol 61,Pg3-4, 1996, p 239.

[6] Krutz, G., L. Thompson and C. Poul (1984).―Design of Agricultural machine.‖ John Willey and Sons, New Delhi. pp.32-36.

[7] Ben Yahia, Logue, and M. Khelifi. (1999). ―Optimum settings for rotary tools used for on-the-row mechanical cultivation in corn‖. Transactions of ASAE, 15(6): 615-619

[8] Biswas, H.S. (1993). ―Performance Evaluation and optimization of straight blades for shallow tillage and weeding in black soils‖, Agric. Mech. in Asia, Africa and Latin America, 24(4) 19-22

[9] Block, J.M. (1986). ―Performance of power tiller blades‖. . Agric. Mech. In Asia, Africa and Latin America, 17 (1): 22-26

[10] Spoor. G. Design of soil engaging implements –practice. Farm Machine des Eng J:14-19,Dec,1969

[11] Thakur T.C., (1991). ―Design aspects of soil engaging hand tools‖. Agricultural Engineering Today.21:15-18

[12] Zhang, J., and R.L.K Khuswala, (1996). ―Wear and draft of cultivator sweep with hardened edges‖. Canadian Agricultural Engineering, 37(1); 41-47