ISSN: 2321-8169
852 - 855

# Comparison of Various Image Inpainting Technique Over Multiple Level Examplar Based Method

Balu C, Tandale
Dept. of Electronics &Telecommunication Eng.
University of Mumbai
YTIET,Karjat-410201 India.

Guide: Dimple Chaudhari
Dept. of Electronics &Telecommunication Eng.
Mumbai University
YTIET,Karjat Mumbai-410201,India.

***Abstract*—** Image inpainting is a technique which is used to patch up the missing area in an image. In early years image inpainting techniques gained the high popularity in the field of image processing, used for restoration of damaged images. The aim of image inpainting is to fill in the missing area in an image which is visible to human eyes. Image inpainting is also applied for reinstallation of old images, films, correction of red-eye, object elimination in digital photographs, removal of spots of dust in image, film, creative effect by removing objects etc. There are different types of image inpainting techniques such as exemplar based image inpainting, texture synthesis based image inpainting, PDE based image inpainting, hybrid inpainting and Semi-automatic and Fast Inpainting. In this paper we provide comparision of various methods for image inpainting.

***Keywords***- *Inpainting, Examplar Based Inpainting, Texture Synthesis, PDE Based Inpainting, Hybrid* ***Inpainting****, Filling Area, Object Removal.*

*****

## I. INTRODUCTION

In present, the picture inpainting innovation is an imperative in the field of picture handling and PC representation and it has a few applications like reorganization of old pictures, movies, evacuation of article in computerized photographs, super-determination, picture pressure, red eye adjustment, and picture coding and picture transmission. Picture Inpainting is the strategy of repairing of defiled or chose segment of a picture. In light of the foundation data, picture inpainting attempt to fill the adulterated or missing information in the picture. Picture reinstallation comprises of re-foundation of old pictures or photos and harmed picture, film by end of dust spots, dots, scratches, superimposed content like dates, subtitles, or attention. Disposal of undesirable articles from a photo/picture, for example, end of an undesirable thing like a man, creature, tree and so on is known as item expulsion. The objective of picture inpainting is to recuperate the picture, as well as to create few pictures that have firmly comparable with the first picture. There are two sorts of calculations that are utilized as a part of the past to manage the above referred to issues, they are surface union and inpainting. Calculations that are utilized for creating substantial picture areas from test surfaces are known as composition union calculations and this calculation concentrated on composition some portion of picture. For filling the little picture crevices inpainting methods are utilized and this systems concentrated on the basic piece of photo/picture [1]. The blend of composition combination method and inpainting strategy are produces another inpainting procedure known as model based picture inpainting system. That is the reason model based picture inpainting can reconstruct surface part and also auxiliary part of a picture. Figure – 1a&1b demonstrates the case of Examplar Based Image Inpainting Algorithm.


Figure 1


Figure 2

## II. IMAGE INPAINTING TECHNIQUE

There are numbers of image inpainting techniques used in literature, some of them are: Texture synthesis based image inpainting, PDE (Partial Differential Equation) based image inpainting, exemplar based image inpainting, Hybrid inpainting, Semi-automatic and Fast Inpainting.

### A: Texture synthesis based image inpainting

Surface combination based picture inpainting calculation is one of the most punctual methods of picture inpainting. To finish the missing ranges these calculations use comparative neighbourhoods of the harmed pixels. To make the new picture pixels the composition combination calculations utilize an underlying seed. All the prior inpainting strategies make utilization of these techniques to fill the missing zone by examining and replicating pixels from the neighbouring area. For e. g, to show the nearby circulation of the pixel, Markov Random Field (MRF) is utilized. Surface blend based picture inpainting calculations incorporated the new composition by utilizing questioning existing composition and finding every comparative neighbourhood. Their difference display chiefly in how congruity is saving between existing pixels and inpainting opening [3]. Surface union based picture inpainting calculations have the capacity to fill huge textured zones, yet relies on upon clients choice on inspecting position and substance. Surface amalgamation based picture inpainting calculations can be arranged into three classifications: Statistical (parametric), pixel-based (non-parametric) and patch-based (non-

 ISSN: 2321-8169
847 - 851

parametric).

**B: PDE based image inpainting**:
Partial Differential Equation (PDE) based algorithms are iterative algorithms which are proposed by Marcelo Bertalmioetal [4]. The main goal of this algorithm is to continue geometric and photometric information that appears at the border of the obstructed area into area itself and this is made through propagating the information in the direction of minimum change using isophote lines. Partial differential equation algorithms will generate good outcomes if missed areas are small one, but when the missed areas are big partial differential equation algorithms will take so much time and will not generate good outcomes. There are number of applications of partial differential equation based algorithms like image reinstatement, image segmentation etc. The focus of partial differential equation based algorithms is mainly on maintaining the structure of the inpainting area. Thus these algorithms results blurred image. Another problem with these algorithms is that the large textured areas are not well regenerated.

**C. Examplar based image inpainting**
The examplar based image inpainting is an important category of inpainting algorithms. The examplar based image inpainting is an efficient technique of reinstallation of big target regions. The exemplar based image inpainting is consistsof two stages:
1. First priority assignment is made and then
2. Choice of the best matching patch.

The exemplar based image inpainting selects the best matching patches from the well-known area, whose similarity is determined by certain metrics, and insert into the target patches in the missing area. According to the filling order, the technique fills structures in the missing regions using spatial information of neighboring regions [1] [9]. The exemplar based image inpainting consists of the following steps:
1) Initializing the Target Region: In this step initial missing areas are removed and represented with suitable data structures.
2) Computing Filling Priorities: In this a predescribed priority function is utilized to compute the filling order for all unoccupied pixels in the starting of each filling iteration.
3) Searching Example and Compositing: In this the most analogous pattern is found from the source area to compose the given patch, which centered on the given pixel.

4) Updating Image Information: In this the boundary of the target area and the necessary information for computing filling priorities are changed numbers of algorithms are created for the exemplar based image Inpainting.

**D. Hybrid Inpainting**
Cross breed inpainting strategy is otherwise called Image Completion. Mixture inpainting system is blend of both surface union and fractional differential condition based inpainting for finishing the missing regions. The center thought behind cross breed inpainting method is that it partitions the picture into two separate parts, surface locale and structure district [5]. The subsequent decayed regions are filled by edge proliferating methods and composition amalgamation procedures. Cross breed inpainting system is utilized for filling vast missing/target zones. Structure finishing through division is one of the critical headings in inpainting process, we need to trust. There are two stages in this system: First one is structure finishing took after by surface amalgamation and second one is combining composition and shading data in each section, again using tensor voting [6].

**E: Semi-automatic and Fast Inpainting**
Self-loader picture inpainting strategy needs client's help with the type of rules to help with structure finish has discovered support with analysts. In a strategy proposed by Z. Xu and S. Jian [7], present inpainting with Structure spread, this procedure demonstrates a two-stage process. In the primary stage a client physically gives vital missing data in the hole by outlining object limits from the surely understood to the obscure range and afterward a patch based surface amalgamation is use to deliver the composition. Self-loader picture inpainting method takes much time from a moment to hours for completion; it relies on upon the measure of range to in paint. To make up the traditional picture inpainting calculations quick, another class of picture inpainting procedure is being created. Quick picture inpainting strategy in light of an isotropic dissemination model which performs inpainting by over and over convolving the inpainting territory with a dispersion part [8]. The quick inpainting systems are not fitting in filling the huge missing regions as they not have express strategies to inpaint edge regions. Another downside of this method is that it delivered obscure impact in resultant picture.

## III. MULTIPLE LEVEL EXAMPLAR-BASED INPAINTING

This section aims at presenting the proposed inpainting method and the combination of the different inpainted images.

**A.Examplar-Based Inpainting**:
The proposed examplar-based method follows the two classical steps:

**1) Patch Priority**
**2) Texture Synthesis**

**1) Patch Priority**
The taking care of request calculation characterizes a measure of need for every patch with a specific end goal to recognize the structures from the surfaces. Traditionally, a high need shows the nearness of structure. The tensor-construct need term is situated in light of a structure tensor additionally called Di Zenzo framework [14]; this is given by:

$$\mathrm{J} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \nabla \mathrm{I} \nabla I^{T}. \quad (1)$$

J is the sum of the scalar structure tensors ∇Ii∇I Ti of each image channel Ii (R,G,B). The tensor can be smoothed without cancellation effects:

Jσ = J *Gσ where **Gσ = 1/2πσ2 exp(−(x2+y2)/2σ2), (1)**with standard deviation σ. One of the main advantages of a structure tensor is that a structure coherence indicator can be deduced from its eigenvalues.Based

ISSN: 2321-8169
847 - 851

on the discrepancy of the eigenvalues, the degree ofanisotropy of a local region can be evaluated. The local vector geometry is computed from the structure tensor Jσ. Its eigenvectors v1, v2 (viƐRn) define an oriented orthogonalbasis and its eigenvalues λ1,2 define the amount of structure variation. The vector v1 is the orientation with the highest fluctuations (orthogonal to the image contours), whereas v2 gives the preferred local orientation. This eigenvector (having the smallest eigenvalue) indicates the isophote orientation.A data term D is then defined as [20]

$$D(Px) = \alpha + (1-\alpha)\exp\left(-\frac{\eta}{(\lambda 1 - \lambda 2)^2}\right) \quad (3)$$

where η is a positive value and α ∈ [0, 1] (η = 8 andα = 0.01). On flat regions (λ1 ≈ λ2), any direction isfavoured for the propagation (isotropic filling order). When λ1 >> λ2 indicating the presence of a structure, the data term is important. The sparsity-based need has been proposed as of late by Xu et al. [13]. In a pursuit window, a layout coordinating is performed between the present patch ψpx and neighboring patches ψpj that have a place with the known part of the picture. By utilizing a non-neighborhood implies approach [11], a closeness weight wpx,pj (i.e. corresponding to the comparability between the two patches focused on px and pj) is registered for every pair of patches. The sparsity term is characterized as:

$$D(Px) = \|Wp_x\| \times \frac{\sqrt{|N_s(p_x)|}}{\sqrt{|N(p_x)|}} \quad (4)$$

where Ns and N represent the number of valid patches (having all its pixels number of candidates in the search window. When _$\|Wp_x\|$_2 is high, it means larger sparseness whereas a small value indicates that the current input patch is highly predictable by many candidates.

**2) Texture Synthesis**: The filling procedure begins with the patch having the most elevated need. To fill in the obscure part of the present patch, the most comparable patch situated in a nearby neighborhood W focused on the present patch is looked for. A closeness metric is utilized for this reason. The picked patch amplifies the closeness between the known pixel estimations of the present patch. to be filled in ψkpx and co-found pixel estimations of patches having a place with W:

$$\psi px^* = \arg \min_{\psi_{p_j} \varepsilon W} d({\psi^k}_{px}, {\psi^k}_{pj}) \quad (5)$$

$$\text{S.t} \quad coh({\psi^{uk}}_{p_x}{}') < \lambda_{coh}$$

Whered(.) is the weighted Bhattacharya used in [12]. Coh(.)is the coherence measure initially proposed by Wexler et al. (17)

$$coh({\psi_{px}}^{uk}) = \min_{p_j \varepsilon S}(d_{SSD}({\psi_{p_x}}^{uk}, {\psi^{uk}}_{p_j})) \quad (6)$$

Where dSSD is the entirety of square contrasts. The cognizance measure Coh essentially shows the level of similitude between the incorporated patch and unique patches. In this manner, the limitation in condition (5) averts sticking in the obscure districts a composition that would be excessively not quite the same as unique surfaces. On the off chance that none of the competitors satisfy the requirement (5), the filling procedure is halted and the need of the present patch is diminished. The procedure restarts by looking for the patch having the most noteworthy need. It is fascinating to note that a late study [16] utilizes a comparable term to foresee the nature of the inpainting. Contrasted with our past work[13], there is another considerable distinction: we just utilize the best match to fill in the gap though a direct mix of the K most comparative patches is by and large performed to process the patch in [11], [12], [13], [17]. In these cases, the evaluated patch is then given by:

$$\psi^*_{p_x} = \sum_i^k w_{p_x, p_i} \times \psi^k_{p_i} \quad (7)$$

Where K is the number of candidates which is often adapted locally so that the similarity of chosen neighbors lies within a range (1+α)×dmin, where dmin is the distance between the current patch and its closest neighbors. Different methods can be used to compute the weights. It could be based on either a non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) [18] or a non-local means filter [12], [19], to name a few. Combining several candidates increases the algorithm robustnessHowever, it tends to introduce blur on fine textures.

Original image masked image processed image

## IV. CONCLUSION

Among above explained various inpainting technique multiple level exemplar based image inpainting technique is the best technique for the image inpainting. Recently, image inpainting is very significant area for researchers in image processing. It is applied in various fields like for reinstallation of old images, films, correction of red-eye, object elimination in digital photographs, removal of spots of dust in image, film, creative effect by removing objects etc. This paper provides a detailed survey on exemplar based image inpainting techniques used in literature. Most of the techniques other than exemplar based image inpainting techniques work for little scratch areas or little areas to be inpainted. In future we will build an approach based on exampler based image inpainting which works better than existing image inpainting techniques.

## REFERENCES

[1] A. Criminisi, P. Perez and K. Toyama, "Region Filling and Object Removal by Exemplar Based Image Inpainting", IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 13(9), pp. 1200-1212, 2004.

[2] Jayesh Patel and Tanuja K. Sarode, "Exemplar based Image Inpainting with Reduced Search Region", International Journal of Computer Application, Vol. 92 – No.12, April 2014.

[3] NiraliPandya and BhailalLimbasiya, “A Survey on Image Inpainting Techniques”, International Journal of Current Engineering and Technology,Vol.3, No.5,December 2013.

[4] Marcelo Bertalmio, LuminitaVese and Guillermo Sapiro, “Simultaneous Structure and Texture Image In painting”, IEEE transactions on image processing, Vol. 12, 2003.

[5] Rane S, Sapiro G and Bertalmio M., “Structure and texture filling of missing image blocks in wireless transmission and compression applications”, IEEE transactions on image processing, 2002.

[6] Ankur G. Patel, Shashwatkumar and Ankit D. Prajapati, “Analysis of Exemplar Based Image Inpainting”, International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technologies, Vol. 5 (1), 800-804, 2014.

[7] Z. Xu and S. Jian, “Image inpainting by patch propagation using patch sparsity”, IEEE transactions on image processing, Vol. 19, pp. 1153-1165, 2010.

[8] M. Oliviera, B. Bowen, R. Mckenna and Y. S. Chang, “Fast Digital Image Inpainting, Processing of International Conference on Visualization, Imaging And Image Processing (VIIP)”, Page 261266, 2001.

[9] Komal s Mahajan and Prof. M. B. Vaidya, “Image in Painting Techniques: A survey”, IOSR Journal of Computer Engineering (IOSRJCE), ISSN: 2278-0661, ISBN: 2278-8727 Volume 5, Issue 4, PP 45-49, (Sep-Oct. 2012).

[10] SheetalBadgujar and N. M. Shahane, “Examplar-based Image Inpainting and Approaches to Improve the Performance”, International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887), 2013.

[11] O. LeMeur and C. Guillemot, “Super- resolution-based inpainting,” in Proc. 12th Eur.Conf. Comput. Vis., 2012, pp. 554–567.

[12] Y. Wexler, E. Shechtman, and M. Irani, “Space time video completion,”in Proc. IEEE Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit., Jun.–Jul. 2004, pp. I-120–I-127.

[13] Z. Xu and J. Sun, “Image inpainting by patch propagation using patchsparsity,” IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 1153–1165,May 2010.

[14] S. Di Zenzo, “A note on the gradient of a multi-image,” Comput. Vis. Graph., Image Process.,vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 116–125, 1986.

[15] J. Weickert, “Coherence-enhancing diffusion filtering,” Int. J. Comput Vis., vol. 32, nos.2–3, pp. 111–127, 1999.

[16] J. Kopf, W. Kienzle, S. Drucker, and S. B.Kang, “Quality prediction for imagecompletion,” ACM Trans. Graph., vol. 31, no. 6, p. 131, 2012.

[17] A. Bugeau, M. Bertalmío, V. Caselles, and G. Sapiro, “A comprehensive framework for Image inpainting,” IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 19, no. 10, pp. 2634–2644, Oct. 2010.

[18] D. D. Lee and H. S. Seung, “Algorithms for non-negative matrix factorization,” in Advances in Neural Information Processing System. Cambridge, MA, USA: MITPress, 2000.

[19] A. Buades, B. Coll, and J. Morel, “A non local algorithm for imagedenoising,” in Proc. IEEE Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit., vol. 2.Jun.2005, pp. 60–65.

.