

Building the Web of Things: A Survey

Dinesh Bhare
Vishwatmak OM Gurudev College of
Engineering
Department of Computer Engineering
University of Mumbai
dinesh.bhare16@gmail.com

Anjali Chachra
Vishwatmak OM Gurudev
College of Engineering
Department of Computer Engineering
University of Mumbai
anjalicachra24@gmail.com

SwapnilBhere
Vishwatmak OM Gurudev College of
Engineering
Department of Computer Engineering
University of Mumbai
swapbhere@gmail.com

Abstract – In the perceiving of the Internet of Things (IoT), over internet it is now possible to share and communicate data through an increasing number of embedded devices of all sorts (e.g., sensors, mobile phones, cameras, smart meters, smart cars, traffic lights, smart home appliances, etc.). As IoT becomes an active research area, different techniques from various points of view have been analyzed to uphold the development and acclaim of IoT. Web of Things (WoT) is one trend of considering IoT where the open Web standards are promoted for information sharing and device introgression. By carrying smart things into existing Web, the prevailing web services are enriched with physical world services. This WoT vision allows a new way of consolidating the barrier between virtual and physical worlds. The vision for the "Web of Things" (WoT) plans at bringing physical objects of the world into the World Wide Web. The primary focus of the WoT is to overpass the gap between physical and digital worlds over a common and widely used platform, which is the Web. Conventional physical "things", that are not Web-enabled, and have finite or zero computing capability, can be boarded within the Web.

Keywords: *Internet of Things, World Wide Web, Web of Things, embedded devices.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The Internet of Things is right now attacked by item storage facility. To interpret the business dormant there is an interest for open biological communities set upon open measures. This incorporates benchmarks for acknowledgment, verification and introgression of administrations crosswise over stages from various merchants, and will relate the requirement for rich depictions and shared information models, and also closes thoughtfulness regarding security, protection, versatility and openness. Open biological systems will dynamize development through the authorization of bigger markets for engineers and mounting the weight for pleasing items to seller particular stages. So there is potential for utilizing scripting dialects like JavaScript, information encodings, for example, JSON and EXI, groups for information and metadata, including Linked Data, and conventions, for example, HTTP and Web Sockets. JavaScript could be utilized for direct access to IoT sensors and actuators from the program, in administration stages in the cloud or at the system edge, and for gadget drivers in doors that utilization IoT conventions to get to embedded gadgets, and web conventions to open them to administration stages. For clients, applications and administrations personality is vital, e.g. as a major aspect of end to end security and for trust administration. Not at all like normal web applications, can't we figure that the client is available and ready to confirm his or herself. Trust administration will involve the way to confirm metadata, e.g. the provenance of information, the area of a given sensor, et cetera. This is a same as knowing your client prerequisites in the keeping money world.

Information at a more elevated amount than the crude information gave by sensors is required by Applications and administrations. In addition, information should be clarified with regards to different wellsprings of data. The same is appropriate to control frameworks whose activities should be changed over in setting into activities on lower level substances. The Web of Things should have the capacity to display this present reality at various levels of deliberation, and to empower open markets with free rivalry of administrations over these levels. In the Web of "things" can be considered as virtual designation of items. A result of this is the "Things" in the Web of Things are not limited to associated gadgets, but rather can likewise incorporate things that are not and can't be associated, for example,

individuals and places, and unique thoughts, for example, occasions (e.g. a show), associations, and time periods (e.g. the 70s). It can have one or more virtual representations (symbols). Things can likewise have histories, e.g. for an auto, recording the arrangement of past proprietors. Representations have personalities, rich portrayals, administrations, access control and information taking care of strategies. They have URIs and are open through web advancements. It makes it less demanding to fabricate applications and administrations that join data from various sources and distinctive levels of reflection. As computerized innovation infests the physical world, the standards of scale are changing profoundly. Standard individuals furnished with cell phones are getting to be programmers, co-making their encounters with advertisers. Items themselves are getting to be administrations. Supply chains are offering approach to request chains. Promoting has changed for eternity.

II. The Internet and the Web

The Internet is a plaid of fiber, frequencies and conventions that connection together the world's PCs – an equipment.. The Web, then again, is programming that gives a mainstream layer on top of the Internet and presents data on a solidified standard. At the end of the day, the Internet gives availability and the Web gives internality. The Internet gave "walled gardens" like AOL and the Web penniless the dividers down. The Internet has a tendency to be shut since it is a dark stage for researchers to share data. The Web is an open stage. There has been a progressing interaction between these two models on computerized innovation.

III. Digital Invades the Physical World

PC chips have ended up littler, efficient and less power hungry, it got to be conceivable to fuse them into pretty much anything. In 1999, a youthful partner brand director at Procter and it is understood that inventory network can be altering by embedding RFID chips into items. The Internet of Things was conceived. The knowledge was that people info information is incredibly massive and wasteful. In this way to get data from articles it is simple. With modest sensors, they know where they are, what transpires, the amount of vitality they utilize and they can let us know about it.

In any case, undeniably, the Internet of Things misses the mark; much like the Internet itself missed the mark. While it functions admirably for exclusive frameworks, it needs an open domain to end up pervasive. For it to have most elevated effect, purchasers should have the capacity to utilize it in a basic and consistent way.

A) The Four pedestals of the Web of Things

As technology overture, a person tends to have more control over it to explicit their independence. While the virtual internet has made documents comprehensive, the Web of Things is creating machines interoperable and allowing consumers to enter into the Internet of Things. The technology axis on four pedestals:

1) **Smartphones:** At the center of the Web of Things is our smartphones. We carry around more processing power than the Apollo program employed to put a man on the moon and we are increasingly using it as a universal remote control for our environment. The phone itself is a sensor platform. Apps like **Shazam** and **Viggle** are able to recognize the media you are watching or listening to and serve related content to your smartphone or tablet. Cameras are able to recognize faces and objects and then search for related information while GPS notes our location and that of objects around us. Most of all, we are using our smartphones to interact with other elements of the Web of Things, like Smart Homes, Smart Cars and Smart Retail,

2) **Smart Homes:** New **super-efficient chips** are putting connectivity everywhere and our home appliances will be as much a part of the Web of Things as our tablets or smartphones. This isn't a new idea, we've been hearing about "refrigerators that order your milk" for years now. However, what's emerging is profoundly different.

At **CES 2012**, Motorola showed of their **4Home system**, which can sync any device with your smartphone. You can monitor your home through video feeds, control your home security, manage your energy output and preheat the oven from the car. Again, the vision was of technology running everything itself, the reality will be more control for consumers. The smart home concept is still in its infancy, but with a little imagination we can see the possibility for a multitude of Web of Things mashups for the home. Food packaging that interacts with ovens to set time and temperature, clothing that interacts with washing machines to alert us when we're about to ruin that new silk blouse and so on.

3) **Smart Cars:** Our cars are becoming an integral part of the new Web of Things as well. **Ford's** and **Toyota's entune**, which are already installed in production units, connect with both the web and smartphones. Much of the capabilities are what you would expect: navigation, roadside assistance, Pandora, and other standard fare. However, some early apps are showing the true potential once outside developers get involved in a big way. IN Japan, **McDonald's is experimenting** with a system that will allow for downloading menus and in-car ordering. Ford is **reaching out to medical device makers** to collaborate on apps that help diabetics monitor glucose levels (a serious problem behind the wheel) and monitor allergens in the air for asthmatics.

4) **Smart Retail:** It's clear that the Web of Things is already transforming the shopping experience. Major retailers like Wal-Mart and Target already have apps to help consumers navigate the store. **Nieman Marcus just released** one that alerts salespeople when a regular customer enters the store and gives them an account history. **Best Fit** does full body scans to suggest the optimal size in various brands. **Kraft is experimenting with technology** that can suggest what you might want to buy for dinner based on information

gleaned from a facial scan. **Disney has a mirror** that lets kids try on virtual outfits.

Another hotbed of innovation is payments. Cash registers will soon be the exception rather than the rule. Wherever you look, the Web of Things is turning everyday experiences into a mash-up of data and physical objects.

B) A Story of Two Systems

In the former Soviet Union, heating is often centralized. The idea is that, much like the "central nervous system" vision of the Internet of things, heating is something that is best automated. So when it gets colder a thermostat automatically turns up the heat for the whole city, without anybody having to do anything. It's a good idea in theory, but in practice it leaves something to be desired. Different buildings trap heat differently, people's preferences are not the same and it takes a while for a system to generate heat throughout a whole city at once. The result is that when it gets cold, the heat doesn't really ratchet up for a few days, by which time the weather has often warmed up. So people are often freezing for a few days and then sweating in 90 degree apartments once the heat ratchets up. The automated system is somewhat counteracted by the second "central nervous system." When it gets colder, thousands of people use electric heaters, which are dangerous and inefficient, and when the temperature drops but the heat goes on they open the windows to cool their apartments to a reasonable level.

C) From Push Marketing to Hack Marketing

It used to be that you would research the market to find needs, build a product to address them and then blast out 30 second ads on TV to build demand. Much like Soviet planners, corporate planners would determine what got produced, at what price and for what purpose. Marketers will have to think in terms of SDK's and API's as much as GRP's and CTR's. Platforms like Sync and entune will be powerful not for the ideas that Ford and Toyota dream up, but for what outside developers and consumers hack together. What used to be the exclusive domain of white coats in research labs is now giving way to an era of **open innovation**. Everybody from LEGO to Nike to cosmetics and financial services companies are getting into the act as well, using the Web of Things to co-create products and services with their consumers. A revolution, albeit a quiet one, is at hand.

IV. Advanced Concepts

The Future Web of Things So far, we have demonstrated how Web benchmarks and outline standards can be utilized for savvy things. While this is by all accounts a fairly satisfactory engineering for the Web of Things, numerous open difficulties remain. In this area, we investigate three such difficulties and portrayal potential answers for each. We start by examining the requirements for ongoing information of numerous shrewd things applications. At that point, we address the difficulties of finding and comprehension administrations accessible in a worldwide Web of Things. Ongoing Web of Things HTTP is a stateless customer/server convention where collaborations are constantly started by the customer, and there is no communication setting greater than a solicitation/reaction trade. This communication model is appropriate for control-arranged applications where customers read/compose information from/to implanted gadgets. Be that as it may, this customer started cooperation models appear to be improper for bi-directional occasion based and spilling frameworks, where information must be sent no concurrently to the customers when it is delivered. For instance, numerous pervasive situations must manage ongoing data to consolidate put away or spilling information from different sources to identify spatial or worldly examples, just like the case in numerous ecological observing applications.

As such applications are often event-based and embedded devices usually have a low-duty cycle (i.e., sleep most of the time), smart things should also be able to push data to clients (rather than being continuously polled). To support the complex, datacentric queries required for such scenarios, more flexible data models are required to expose sensor data streams over the Web. In this section, we explore the recent developments in the real-time Web to build such a data model that is more suited to the data-centric, stream-based nature of sensor-driven applications. As mentioned before, using syndication protocols, such as Atom, improves the model when monitoring, since devices can publish data asynchronously using Atom Pub on an intermediate server or Smart Gateway. Nevertheless, clients still have to pull data from Atom servers. Web streaming media protocols (RTP/RTSP) have enabled transmission of potentially infinite data objects, such as Internet radio stations. Sensor streams are similar to streaming media in this respect. However, streaming media mainly support play and pause commands, which are insufficient for sensor streams where more elaborate control commands, are needed. The Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol (XMPP) is an open standard for real-time communication based on exchanges of XML messages, and powers a wide range of applications including instant messaging (Google Talk is based on XMPP). Although widely used and successful, XMPP is a fairly complex standard, which is often too heavy for the limited resources of embedded devices used in sensor networks. An alternative type of Web applications that attempt to eliminate the limitations of the traditional HTTP polling has become increasingly popular. This model, called Comet18 (also called HTTP streaming or server push), enables a Web server to push data back to the browser without the client requesting it explicitly. Since browsers are not designed with server-sent events in mind, Web application developers have tried to work around several specification loopholes to implement Comet-like behaviour, each with different benefits and drawbacks. One general idea is that a Web server does not terminate the TCP connection after response data has been served to a client, but leaves the connection open to send further events. Based on this brief overview, one can observe that the trade-off between scalability and query expressiveness is also present in the Web world. However, as the recent developments in Web techniques have allowed to build efficient and scalable publish/subscribe systems, we suggest that a Web-based pub/sub model could be used to connect sensor networks with applications. PubSubHubbub (PuSH) 19 is a simple, open pub/sub protocol as an extension to Atom and RSS. Parties (servers) speaking the PuSH protocol can get near-instant notifications (via call-backs) when a feed they are interested in is updated. PuSH can also be used as a generalpurpose messaging protocol for devices (Trifa et al. 2010). The following model can be used to enable Web-based stream processing applications where users can post queries using an HTTP request to one or more sensors. The HTTP request shown in Figure 1, collects the light and temperature sensor readings twice per second (the ds.freq=2 Hz parameter) only if the light sensor value is not over "200" and the temperature reading is less than "19". As a result, a specific pub/sub feed will be created on a pub/sub broker as a stream (sequence of messages) in which all the data matching the request will be pushed by the stream processing engine. This allows decoupling the application from the stream processing engine, which can be easily replaced, as long as it supports the same interface to process Web requests and also can push the matching data into the pub/sub broker. All the data samples corresponding to these queries are then pushed into a feed on the message broker, where users can subscribe using the PuSH protocol. They will then receive the data from the stream pushed from the broker via call-backs. Although HTTP was not designed for real-time stream delivery, exploratory research in the Web of Things area shows promising results when using Web standards to interact with distributed sensors and actuators. The loss in raw performance and latency, due to verbose HTTP requests, is compensated by allowing sensor networks to be exposed in an easily accessible and universal

way. Additionally, thanks to the many advantages offered by Web standards, such as transparent proxies, declarative Web-based queries can be mapped to the specialized processing features of sensor networks, therefore, one can still take advantage of the optimizations and advanced processing implemented within sensor networks and other stream processing systems. While it is clear that a Web of Things needs more developments and standards in the areas that we have described, the developments of recent years and the foreseeable future of HTML5 and its Web Sockets and Server-Sent Events is a sign of developments moving in the right direction for the WoT. However, it is an important task for Internet of Things researchers to identify the shortcomings of the current Web architecture and propose solutions that work well for monitoring the real world and still integrate well with the Web.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project consumed huge amount of work, research and dedication. Still, implementation would not have been possible if we did not have a support of many individuals and organizations. Therefore we would like to extend our sincere gratitude to all of them. I would like to express my special thanks of gratitude to my guide as well as our principal who gave me the golden opportunity to do this wonderful project on the topic Web of things, which also helped me in doing a lot of Research and i came to know about so many new things. Nevertheless, we express our gratitude toward our families and colleagues for their kind co-operation and encouragement which help us in completion of this project.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Atzori, A. Iera, and G. Morabito, "The Internet of Things: A survey," *Comput. Netw.*, vol. 54, pp. 2787–2805, October 2010. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2010.05.010>
- [2] S. Duquennoy, G. Grimaud, and J.-J. Vandewalle, "Smews: Smart and mobile embedded web server," in *Complex, Intelligent and Software Intensive Systems*, 2009. CISIS '09. International Conference on, march 2009, pp. 571–576.
- [3] B. Traversat, M. Abdelaziz, D. Doolin, M. Duigou, J.-C. Hugly, and E. Pouyoul, "Project JXTA-C: enabling a Web of things," in *System Sciences*, 2003. Proceedings of the 36th Annual Hawaii International Conference on, 2003, p. 9 pp.
- [4] I. Agranat, "Engineering web technologies for embedded applications," *Internet Computing*, IEEE, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 40–45, may/jun 1998. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/4236.683798>
- [5] T. Lin, H. Zhao, J. Wang, G. Han, and J. Wang, "An embedded Web server for equipment," pp. 345–350, may 2004.
- [6] D. Guinard and V. Trifa, "Towards the Web of Things: Web Mashups for Embedded Devices," in *Workshop on Mashups, Enterprise Mashups and Lightweight Composition on the Web (MEM 2009)*, in proceedings of WWW (International World Wide Web Conferences), Madrid, Spain, Apr. 2009.
- [7] O. Akribopoulos, I. Chatzigiannakis, C. Koninis, and E. Theodoridis, "A Web Services-oriented Architecture for Integrating Small Programmable Objects in the Web of Things," *2010 Developments in E-systems Engineering*, pp. 70–75, 2010.
- [8] B. Ostermaier, M. Kovatsch, and S. Santini, "Connecting things to the web using programmable low-power wifi modules," in *Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on the Web of Things (WoT 2011)*, San Francisco, CA, USA, Jun. 2011, accepted for publication.

-
- [9] K. il Hwang, J. In, N. Park, and D. seopEom, "A design and implementation of wireless sensor gateway for efficient querying and managing through world wide web," Consumer Electronics, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 1090 – 1097, nov. 2003.
- [10] V. Trifa, S. Wiel, D. Guinard, and T. Bohnert, "Design and implementation of a gateway for web-based interaction and management of embedded devices," in Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Sensor Network Engineering (IWSNE), 2009.
- [11] D. Guinard, C. Floerkemeier, and S. Sarma, "Cloud computing, rest and mashups to simplify rfid application development and deployment," in Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on the Web of Things (WoT 2011). San Fransisco, USA: ACM, Jun. 2011.
- [12] W3C Working Group, "Web Services Architecture," <http://www.w3.org/TR/ws-arch/>.
- [13] D. Box, D. Ehnebuske, G. Kakivaya, A. Layman, N. Mendelsohn, H. Nielsen, S. Thatte, and D. Winer, "Simple object access protocol(SOAP) 1.1," 2000.
- [14] E. Christensen, F. Curbera, G. Meredith, and S. Weerawarana, "Web services description language (WSDL) 1.1," <http://www.w3.org/TR/wsdl>, 2001.
- [15] T. Bellwood, L. Clément, D. Ehnebuske, A. Hatley, M. Hondo, Y. Husband, K. Januszewski, S. Lee, B. McKee, J. Munter et al., "UDDI Version 3.0," Published specification, Oasis, vol. 5, pp. 16–18, 2002.