wavelet transform be an extra appropriate method compared to other methods. In favour of image compression applications, the wavelet transform plays a tremendously key part in image processing with assistance of computer visualization [3]. The constraint of the wireless communication system does not require more additional bandwidth. The fast expansion of wireless communications has a claim intended for strong multimedia communication by means of superior quality, coverage, and additional power plus bandwidth competence. The constraint of the wireless communication channels akin to restricted rise bandwidth usage, claim in support of superior image at destination, communication system does not require more additional bandwidth. Moreover, synchronized applications are significant for the reason that it is extensive increase. Execution of trustworthy image communication by means of the synchronized necessity wants high-quality image, maintaining small complexity, small delay, small power, with small bit-rate. To way out the difficulty of trustworthy real time communication using wireless transmission paths are done utilizing UEP (Unequal Error Protection) techniques. [6]

II. PREVIOUS WORK
In [9] the procedure used for best CAD of particular DWT based image compression techniques are accepted out. The software reference model was designed in MATLAB for verification. The VERILOG modeling has been achieved on...
the basis of weights and biases procured by means of the software. Additionally, by means of giving the subsystems, choice of suitable information pathway operators and state machines used for data flow concept, all this additional reduces computation complexity of the DWT.

In [16], 3D display dependent on II (Integral Imaging) the compression of the basic images is a foremost requirement to be enacted in real time applications. Additionally, an II (Integral Imaging) lossless compression coder was proposed based on 3D set partitioning in hierarchical trees, i.e. 3D SPIHT. The basic images are stacked and collectively outline a 3D image. 3D SPIHT code was utilized after three Dimensional wavelet transform was executed. Finally, results proved that the system proposed has superiority compression Performance when compared with its counterpart i.e. 2D SPIHT.

In [17], 4 image codecs have been discussed, and their compression performance be summarized. It have been proved that from the 4 codecs i.e. JPEG, SPIHT, ASWDR and JPEG2000 discussed, ASWDR enact the superlative, however decline due to large space needs. JPEG2000 enacts nearly same as that of ASWDR although it needs considerably a smaller amount of space. While JPEG2000’s needs considerably a smaller amount of space because of its block-based organization.

In manuscript [18], a new method for exacting purpose towards wireless site image monitoring was developed by making use of particular SS (Spread Spectrum) equipment. Furthermore, by using wavelet coefficients rather than pixel information for image, it was benefitted by making use of 2nd level of security encryption. This proposed system using wavelet transform assures the reliability and security of data transmitted due to non availability of information regarding type and pattern of data used for the purpose.

In [19][20][21][22][23][24][25] outlines the wavelet algorithm application and preliminaries of VOIP system while [19] proposed a scheme to develop a remote VOIP system using wavelets for improving the performance (in terms of Quality of Service) and for replacing PSTN. Conversely, as IP networks were still incapable to perform for the desired quality. Currently offered VOIP applications have been enhanced so that remote VOIP applications were not got dishonored. The delay, jitter, echo compensation and packet loss rate were the parameters in addition to SNR, PSNR and PRSE that were discussed for evaluating performance of proposed system.

III. METHODOLOGY

Due to the advent of 3G/4G wireless mobile networks, the wireless communication is vital area for the researchers and also rapid emergent segments from last many years. The unusual development of wireless systems support in conjunction with considerably large quantity of computer usage signifies a shining prospect meant for expansion of wireless communication intended for Voice over IP. Here at this particular stage, we must declare about wireless VOIP have exceptionally cheering usage so as to facilitate wireless communication system for wireless VOIP.

A. Wireless VOIP using Wavelets

From last two decades use of wavelets in emerging engineering applications have been a selected area intended to investigate. In Voice over IP communication image data is considered to be the important. The wavelets have restriction and in accumulation time repetition property as a result of which wavelets becomes extra suitable for communicate image data via wireless Voice over IP usage. One desires to select the suitable and proper wavelet adaptable to image data. This intelligence is carefully reutilized. For these reasons wavelet becomes a decent tool intended for the analysis of wireless Voice over IP inspection.

B. Proposed work

The most important objective is towards implementation of better wireless Voice over IP structure by utilization of wavelets. Additionally, a number of parameters are used to analyze the performance of wireless Voice over IP using wavelets, so as to ensure the reconstruction of quality image at destination. In present work, wavelet based Voice over IP model is proposed for different types of data (Image, audio and video) communication. But, in this manuscript only image transmission by using 4 different wavelet decomposition levels has been taken into consideration. So, the proposed model is used to analyze the performance of Voice over IP by considering 4 different wavelet decomposition levels and thus enhancing the QOS. In order to propose the present model, the following steps are followed:

- Data Selection: In this proposed Voice over IP model, consumer will be able to transmit 3 different types of data namely Image, Audio and Video. Here in this paper, simulation for image data has been carried out and `.jpg’, `.gif’, `.png’ image formats are used.
- Wavelet Selection and Decomposition: In present work, wavelet based Voice over IP model is proposed. The main idea for using 4 different wavelets decompositions is to split as per requirement of scale. Many researchers feel that by making use of wavelets, an implementation of an essential novel approach or perspective in usage of information. The wavelets used in this work are Coiflet, Daubechies (db2, db4, db6, db8, and db10), Haar and Symlet families respectively.
- Transmission: At transmitter an instance of image data are converted into pixel values. Then these pixel values are gone through a process of encoding and packetization. In addition, standard headers are added to encoded image data from different layers. TCP (Transport Control Protocol) is used for IP. For TCP protocol and familiar with the delay as receiver has to acknowledge for each and every packet sent by the sender. The corresponding size packets are communicated over proposed IP model to its destination. At destination a reverse process is carried out, to get quality image. In the course of transmission of image there is a variation in time for the packets received.
- ANOVA Statistical Tool: ANOVA was developed by R.A. Fisher; in fact the F-test was named in his honour. It emphasized the significance of uncertainty, that is, the same sample size is not necessary for
Without Wavelet Method Used Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>SNR</th>
<th>PSNR</th>
<th>NRMSE</th>
<th>PRSE</th>
<th>C-Ratio</th>
<th>Packet Loss</th>
<th>Delay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without Wavelet</td>
<td>1.72896</td>
<td>5.9438</td>
<td>0.991197</td>
<td>19.2381</td>
<td>3.41724</td>
<td>14.1622</td>
<td>17.7519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coiflet</td>
<td>3.76408</td>
<td>14.9795</td>
<td>3.582666</td>
<td>29.3973</td>
<td>0.021276</td>
<td>2.50599</td>
<td>13.8992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Db2</td>
<td>2.86059</td>
<td>11.3656</td>
<td>1.71968</td>
<td>57.4731</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>2.70256</td>
<td>13.7716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Db4</td>
<td>4.69093</td>
<td>18.6869</td>
<td>5.38037</td>
<td>21.3575</td>
<td>0.030303</td>
<td>1.15756</td>
<td>13.8517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Db6</td>
<td>4.51311</td>
<td>17.9756</td>
<td>5.02472</td>
<td>28.763</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.817269</td>
<td>13.6405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Db8</td>
<td>4.55386</td>
<td>18.1386</td>
<td>5.10622</td>
<td>35.6316</td>
<td>0.020833</td>
<td>0.93584</td>
<td>12.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Db10</td>
<td>3.74155</td>
<td>14.8894</td>
<td>3.4816</td>
<td>36.9925</td>
<td>0.028169</td>
<td>1.90743</td>
<td>13.8599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haar</td>
<td>2.32477</td>
<td>9.22229</td>
<td>0.648053</td>
<td>58.0127</td>
<td>0.020833</td>
<td>1.61398</td>
<td>14.0322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symlet</td>
<td>2.4978</td>
<td>9.19439</td>
<td>0.9941</td>
<td>41.5519</td>
<td>0.040816</td>
<td>2.82484</td>
<td>13.7435</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE I. RESULT ANALYSIS**

- **Image Transmission Using Level 1 Decomposition**

- **Image Transmission Using Level 2 Decomposition**

- **Image Transmission Using Level 3 Decomposition**

- **Image Transmission Using Level 4 Decomposition**

- **single - factor ANOVA, however the sample size should be as nearly equal as feasible. The single factor ANOVA is supposed to signify a totally randomized experimental design. In ANOVA we presume that \( \sigma^2 \) is the variance and we approximate population variance and classify the variance as total variance, between variance and within variance. Therefore ANOVA is based on partitioning the variation in the dependent variable. It contrasts the variance between groups with variance of this projected Voice Over IP model using is to get better the QOS for wireless Voice over IP model within group. If there is more difference between the groups than there is within group, then it is the group that makes the difference and the results is statistically significant. Here in present work groups are considered for SNR, PRSE, CR and delay at different decomposition levels i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th respectively.**

- **Performance Analysis: The most important purpose using Wavelets. The Quality of Service for Voice over IP depends on packet loss and the delay. The**
terms used to evaluate the performance of this proposed model are as follows:

- Compression Ratio (CR);
- Percentage of Retained Signal Energy (PRSE);
- Normalized Root Mean Square Error (NRMSE);
- Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR);
- Peak Signal to Noise Ratio (PSNR);

**Compression Ratio (CR):**
It is defined as the ratio of bit count in original image to the bit count in compressed image. Alternatively, it can also be defined as ratio of memory consumed by the original size of image to the memory consumed by the compressed size of image.

\[ CR = \frac{\text{Memory consumed by original Size}}{\text{Memory consumed by Compressed Size}} \]  

(1)

**Percentage of Retained Signal Energy (PRSE):**
It is defined, while considering compression by means of wavelets, as:

\[ \text{PRSE} = 100 \left( \frac{\text{vector} - \text{norm(coeffs of the current decomposition, 2)}}{\text{vector} - \text{norm(original signal, 2)}} \right)^2 \]  

(2)

**Normalized Root Mean Square Error (NRMSE):**
MSE (Mean Square Error) for the original image and the reconstructed (or compressed) is given as:

\[ \text{MSE} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - Y_i)^2 \]  

Where \( X_i \) and \( Y_i \) represents the pixels of the original and reconstructed (or compressed) image respectively. It may also be defined as the average of the squares of the errors (pixel differences) of two images. The RMSE is expressed as square root of MSE between the two images:

\[ \text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\text{MSE}} \]  

(4)

Normalization of the RMSE enables the contrast among system datasets or system models with different scales. Although, normalization in the literature is done for a consistent means, common choices are the mean or the range (defined as the maximum value minus the minimum value) of the considered data:

\[ \text{NRMSE} = \frac{\text{RMSE}}{Y_{\text{max}} - Y_{\text{min}}} \]  

(5)

**Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR):**
Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR or S/N) at the destination or receiving end is defined as the ratio of average signal power to the average noise power, both being measured at same point. The customary practice is to express the SNR in decibels (dBs), defined as 10 times the logarithm (to the base 10) of power ratio:

\[ \text{SNR} = \frac{\text{Average Signal Power}}{\text{Average Noise Power}} \]  

(6)

\[ \text{SNR}_{db} = 10 \log_{10}(\text{SNR}) \]  

(7)

superiority capacity among the novel with the compressed image;

**Peak Signal to Noise Ratio (PSNR):**
It is defined as:

\[ \text{PSNR}_{db} = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\text{MAX}^2}{\text{MSE}} \right) \]  

(8)

Where \( \text{MAX}^2 \) is the maximum possible pixel value of the original image and MSE is Mean Squared Error.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

So for the study purpose seven analytic parameters (as mentioned above) were considered and evaluated to verify the quality of reconstructed image at the destination. A MATLAB® based simulation code and GUI was designed for analysis purpose for proposed model at different decomposition levels. As depicted from the Table I, it is quite evident that Db4 performs best for 1st and 2nd decomposition levels, similarly Db10 with 3rd decomposition level and finally Db2 with 4th decomposition level. From Table II; the computed F-ratio value i.e. F (3, 28) for 0.05 significance level is shown. As the calculated F- Values 54.70, 45.84, 53.51 and 28.18 for 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th levels of decomposition all are greater than the critical value (F = 2.95) at 0.05 level of significance, hence null hypothesis is rejected. Therefore, it is concluded that the samples of SNR, PRSE, CR, and delay produces statistical significant difference among the 4 groups. Hence, retaining the quality of data being used for proposed model.

During study eight different wavelets such as Coiflet, db2, db4, db6, db8, db10, Haar and Symlet were used for analysis. The intention of the present effort has been categorized into two parts. Initially, examination of proposed VOIP model, without using wavelet is completed on the recorded image data. Secondly different wavelets as intimated above were chosen to investigate the recorded image data. To study the behavior of wavelet based wireless VOIP model two network transmission parameters Delay and Packet Loss were evaluated. Additionally, SNR, PSNR, NRMSE, PRSE and Compression Ratio were also evaluated to check the quality of reconstructed image at the destination. From the Tables depicted in Table I and Table II, it is clear that, the proposed wireless VOIP model using wavelet performs better in comparison to analysis without using wavelets.

V. CONCLUSION

This proposed work is to investigate wavelet families with 4-level decomposition for image transmission over wireless VOIP. In this work, 8 different wavelets named as Coiflet, db2, db4, db6, db8, db10, Haar, and Symlet were used. The objective of the ongoing work has been divided into two components. Firstly, analysis of Wireless VOIP, without using wavelet is done on the recorded data (i.e. image), while for the
TABLE II. ANOVA STATISTICAL RESULTS FOR CRITICAL VALUE (F₀) = 2.95

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>Sum of Square (SOS)</th>
<th>Degree of Freedom (DOF)</th>
<th>Mean Square (MS)</th>
<th>F-Ratio F(3,28)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Image Transmission Using Level 1 Decomposition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>7275.03</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>MS_between=2425.01</td>
<td>54.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>1241.21</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>MS_within=44.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Sum of Squares</td>
<td>8516.24</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Image Transmission Using Level 2 Decomposition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>4890.23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>MS_between=1630.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>995.75</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>MS_within=35.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Sum of Squares</td>
<td>5885.98</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Image Transmission Using Level 3 Decomposition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>4466.97</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>MS_between=1488.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>779.16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>MS_within=27.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Sum of Squares</td>
<td>5246.13</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Image Transmission Using Level 4 Decomposition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>4368.71</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>MS_between=1456.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>1446.95</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>MS_within=51.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Sum of Squares</td>
<td>5815.66</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second case different wavelets as mentioned above were chosen to investigate the recorded data. To analyze performance different quality parameters like SNR, PSNR, NRMSE, PRSE and Compression Ratio and network capability parameters like Packet Loss and Delay were calculated. Therefore, there results no echo in all the cases as the round trip delay is less than 50ms (ITU Recommendations). The packet loss and Throughput is also within the range as recommended by ITU. After analysis as depicted in Table I, it is quite evident that, proposed VOIP model using wavelet performs better where on an average Daubechies wavelet achieved better performance than others. From Table II, it is clear that the recorded data is effective. Hence, proposed model gives better performance on 2-level decomposition for image data but it’s better than without wavelet. So, in future this proposed model may be analyzed to achieve better results for audio and video data. Additionally, additional wavelet families can be compared using advanced mathematics.
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