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Abstract— Algorithms, implemented for the problem of ad hoc wireless sensors network, are simulated on Matlab platform, with a step-by-step evaluations of a case study. The main goal is to maximize the lifetimes of sensors by sharing sensors subsets, which cover a number of targeted zones, according to their minimum coverage failure probabilities. Different sensor subsets are activated according to their coverage failure probabilities, as well as a minimum specified value of coverage failure probability.
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I. INTRODUCTION
Sensor networks are normally deployed in areas of interest such as home appliances, healthcare applications, environment monitoring, etc. in order to collect information about events of these areas. Although using a large number of wireless low power ad hoc networks would be adequate, they are short lived, unreliable and limited radio range, memory and processing capacities[1]. An important function of these wireless sensor networks is to sense signals in remote and inaccessible environments, in which preserving their energy and prolonging network lifetime, is critical, and in which, their area coverage is to be maintained.

Area coverage can be resolved either by deploying sensors to cover sensing zones completely, or make sure that all zones are covered by a certain number of sensors, such as one-coverage or k-coverage [2][3], or select active sensors in a densely deployed network to cover all zones [4][5][6][7][8]. The last case is known as an Activity Scheduling Problem (ASP) [9], which is divided into four classes: area, barrier, patrol or target coverage, in which this paper is focused on [10].

In order to maximize network lifetime and preserving zones coverage, many algorithms propose to organize sensors in a number of subsets, such that each set completely covers all zones, thus enabling time schedules for each subset to be activated at a time, thus removing redundant sensors which may waste energy and consequently reduce network lifetime [11]. To solve this problem, many algorithms are applied such as generic, linear programming, greedy algorithms [12][13][14][15][16]. One important technique is to improve reliability in cases when sensors may become unavailable due to physical damage, lack of power or malfunctioning.

In this paper, algorithms and their simulations of wireless sensor networks are implemented to include network lifetime reliability and lower failure probability of the sensor subsets which cover and monitor all zone targets. This problem has been addressed in the literature before; namely the α-Reliable Maximum Sensor Coverage (α-RMSC) problem. A number of algorithms, are introduced for a general S-T (sensor-target) coverage situation; each with a special task in a step-by-step simulation manner.

II. CASE STUDY
A general sensor-target (S-T) case is implemented in which three targeted zones are to be covered by four sensors, as depicted in fig.1, in which they are distributed randomly over a two dimensional planner view.

![Figure 1: Planner view of four sensors and three target zones.](image)

It can be seen that sensor S₁ covers target T₁ only, whereas S₂ covers T₁ and T₂, sensor S₃ covers T₁ and T₄, and sensor S₄ covers T₂ and T₃. It is assumed that two dimensional coverage is used with the sensors allocated apart from the targeted zones’ centers. Thus each sensor covers each target with a certain failure probability value (sfp), ranging from 0 to 1. A value of sensor failure probability of 1 indicates no coverage. Since each target is covered by one or more sensors, 100% coverage can be achieved in which alternative sensors alone or in groups, or subsets, can be switched on and off in such a way so that the lifetime of all sensors may be increased. The following figure depicts the values of sfp of each sensor to each target.
Figure 2; Sensor failure probabilities.

It can be seen that in order to insure 100% coverage of the targeted zones, there exists 9 possible sensor subsets or groups: {1,4}, {1,2,3}, {1,2,4}, {2,3}, {2,4}, {1,2,3,4}, {2,3,4}, {1,3,4} and {3,4}. The values of sensor-target failure probability are listed as shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>SFP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table I, Sensor failure probabilities

III. PROBLEM FORMULATION:

An S-T coverage problem is for S sensors covering T targets according to failure probabilities of a number of different subset groups of sensors, in which the target failure probability \( tfp \) of \( j \) targets by \( r \in [1, k] \) sensors subsets are:

\[
Cfp_r=1-\prod (1-tfp_j) \tag{1}
\]

\[
tfp_j=\prod sfp_i \tag{2}
\]

where \( sfp_i \) is the failure probability of sensor \( i \) to target \( j \), and \( cfp_r \) is coverage failure probability of a subset or group of sensors covering all targeted zones, which is assumed to be less than \( \alpha \); a predefined maximum failure probability \( tfp \) is target failure probability of one targeted zone by all sensors.

It’s required to find these \( k \) sensors subsets activation in order to maximize the network lifetime as

\[
\text{Max } \sum \omega_k \tag{3}
\]

Where \( \omega_k \) is lifetime of each sensor subset, with the assumption that lifetime of each sensor is normalized to a value of \( 1 \).

IV. Input Modules

The algorithms of solving the \( \alpha \)-RMSC problem depends on the way of defining sensors-targets coverage and inputting sensors failure probabilities as follows:

1. Inputting \((n-m)\) data for \( n \) sensors to \( m \) targets as shown. Entered value 1 indicates no coverage for the specific S-T pair.

```matlab
function In(n,m)
    for i=1:n
        for j=1:m
            sfp(i,j)= input(['Input Sensor Failure Probability from Sensor ', num2str(i), ' to Target ', num2str(j), ' = ']);
        end; end;
    disp(' '); disp('sfp= '); disp(sfp);
end
```

Calling this function script will lead to a 4 x 3 matrix of sensors failure probabilities as shown below:

```
>> In(4,3)
Input Sensor Failure Probability from Sensor 1 to Target 1= 0.7
.. (12 values must be inputted)
sfp=  

0.7000    1.0000    1.0000
0.3000    0.5000    1.0000
0.2000    1.0000    0.9000
1.0000    0.7000    0.4000
```

2. The following algorithm is used by inputting vector \( d \) of decimal numbers for each of the \( n \) sensors covering \( m \) targets

```matlab
function sfp=Input_Decimal_to_Binary(d,n,m)
    for i=1:n
        while d(i) ~= 0
            for j=1:m
                if rem(d(i),2)==0
                    bb( m-j+1)=0;
                else
                    bb( m-j+1)=1;
                end
                d(i)=floor(d(i)/2);
            end; end;
            sfp(i,:)=bb;
            disp(sfp);
        end
    end
```

The values of sensor-target failure probability are listed as shown in the following table:
i.e. entering binary sensors data, in which each sensor is assigned to a decimal number corresponding to binary digits for each target, then the failure probabilities for only active sensors to targets are entered. For example; a 7 assigned to sensor 2 of a S-T system of 3-4, corresponds to sensor 2 covering targets 1-2-3. The following output screen demonstrates this:

```
>> Input_Decimal_to_Binary(d,4,3)
Input Sensor 1 decimal_number = 4
Input Sensor 2 decimal_number = 6
Input Sensor 3 decimal_number = 5
Input Sensor 4 decimal_number = 3
Sensor-Target matrix is:
1 0 0
1 1 0
1 0 1
0 1 1
```

Input Sensor Failure Probability = 1 to 1 = 0.7
Input Sensor Failure Probability = 2 to 1 = 0.3
Input Sensor Failure Probability = 2 to 2 = 0.5
Input Sensor Failure Probability = 3 to 1 = 0.2
Input Sensor Failure Probability = 3 to 3 = 0.9
Input Sensor Failure Probability = 4 to 2 = 0.7
Input Sensor Failure Probability = 4 to 3 = 0.4

```
0.7000    1.0000    1.0000
0.3000    0.5000    1.0000
0.2000    1.0000    0.9000
1.0000    0.7000    0.4000
```

V. TARGET FAILURE PROBABILITY

This algorithm is to calculate failure probability of all sensors (i = 1 to n) to target j (j = 1 to m), according to the formula:

\[ tfp_j = \prod_{i=1}^{n} sfp_{ij} \]  

(4)

where \( sfp_{ij} \) are sensor failure probabilities for a number of sensors to any target. The following algorithm does this, followed by execution run for a different values of subsets; i.e. \{1\}, \{1,3\} and \{1,2,3,4\}:

```
>> Target_Failure_Probability(sfp,in,3)
Target Failure Probability for sensors subset :
1 3
is =
0.1400    1.0000    0.9000
```

It can be seen that as the number of sensors in one subset increased, failure probability is reduced. Note that a failure probability of 1 in one of the targets, indicate no coverage to that target zone. So for the case of \{1\}, targets 2 and 3 are not covered, and for subset \{1,3\}, target 2 is not covered.

VI. SENSOR COVER FAILURE PROBABILITY

This algorithm is to calculate the coverage of the k sensors subsets to the m targets, according to \( scfp_r = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1-tfp_j) \), where \( r \in [1, k] \): in which target failure probability \( tfp \) is entered as a vector for the m individual targets.

```
function [scfp]=Sensor_Cover_Failure_Probability(tfp,in,m)
disp(''); disp('Sensor Cover Failure Probability for sensors :');
disp(in); disp(' is = '); disp('');
for i=1:in
    scfp(i)=1;
    for j=1:m
        scfp(i)=scfp(i)*(1-tfp(j));
    end
    scfp(i)=1-scfp(i);
end
disp([scfp]);
eend
```

The execution of this algorithm function script is as follows:

```
>> Sensor_Cover_Failure_Probability(tfp,in,3)
Sensor Cover Failure Probability for sensors :
1 2 3 4
is =
0.6015
```
There are 9 possible sensor subsets among the maximum of \(2^4\) possible subsets, which can cover all targeted zones. These subsets are: \{1,4\}, \{1,2,3\}, \{1,2,3,4\}, \{1,2,4\}, \{1,3,4\}, \{2,3\}, \{2,4\}, \{2,3,4\}, \{3,4\}. The Sensor subset coverage of these 9 subsets, are simulated and the result is shown in the following table:

**Table II: Nine sensor subsets, shown in the first row against coverage failure probabilities shown in the second row**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>Coverage Failure Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{1}</td>
<td>0.9460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{2}</td>
<td>0.9521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{3}</td>
<td>0.8349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{4}</td>
<td>0.9530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{1,2}</td>
<td>0.6015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{1,3}</td>
<td>0.6919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{1,4}</td>
<td>0.8992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{2,3}</td>
<td>0.7270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{2,4}</td>
<td>0.6090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{3,4}</td>
<td>0.8464</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be shown that this failure probability is minimum for subset \{1,2,3,4\} in which all sensors are active, whereas it’s maximum when only 2 or 3 sensors active as a group in a subset. Note that there exists no subset with only one sensor to cover all targets, as formulated in this case example.

**VII. ALPHA-RELIABLE MAXIMUM SENSOR COVERAGE**

In this algorithm, firstly all sensors coverage failure probability that can be checked to be less than a certain assigned value of \(\alpha\), as listed in this algorithm:

```matlab
function coverage=Less_Min_Coverage(scfp,k,alpha)
disp(['Sensor subsets less then alpha of ' num2str(alpha) ' are = ']);
count=0;
for i=1:k
    if scfp(i) < alpha
        count=count+1;
        coverage(count)=scfp(i);
    end;
end;
disp (coverage);
end
```

Running this function script will list the following output:

```
>> Less_Min_Coverage(scfp,k,alpha)
Sensor subsets less then alpha of 0.75 are = 0.6015 0.6919 0.7270 0.6090
```

These coverage failure probabilities correspond to the following subsets: \{1,2,3,4\}, \{1,2,4\}, \{2,4\} and \{2,3,4\}.

Different values of \(\alpha\) can be chosen to select appropriate active sensor subsets; the higher \(\alpha\) value the more subset choices. As shown above, 4 subsets are selected for \(\alpha<0.75\). It can deduced, that in order to maximize life time of sensors, it would be appropriate to activate may sensor subsets to operate at different times, thus elongating their lifetime. But this would be on the expense of coverage failure probabilities.

Then the weight factor indices \(w's\) are assigned to each sensor as well as to each target according to the importance of contributing sensors and targets to be covered.

These weight indices are dependent on several factors, such as priority of targeted zones or sensors reliability, and therefore they will be included in the coverage lifetime of the contributed subsets. For evenly distribution of sensors and targets priorities, a value of unity is assigned to all \(w's\)

Then, the maximum network lifetime is calculated according to \(\text{Max } \sum_{w_k}\) as shown in the following algorithm:

```matlab
function coverage_time=Coverage_Time(coverage,w)
disp(['Coverage Times for Subsets ' num2str(ss) ' are= '])
tt=0;
i=1:i
ii=length(coverage);
for i=1:ii
    tt=tt+(1-coverage(i));
end
for i=1:length(coverage)
    coverage_time(i)=(1-coverage(i))*ii * w(i)/tt;
end
disp (coverage_time);
end
```

Running this algorithm function script would list the following coverage times. It is assumed, that each sensors lifetime is normalized to reference value of 1:

```
>> Coverage_Time(coverage,w)
Coverage Times for Subsets are=
1.1630 0.8992 0.7967 1.1411
```

It can be seen that only subset \{1,2,3,4\}, \{1,2,4\} and \{2,4\} can be used for maximum lifetime of \{1.1630 \(+0.8992 +0.7967\)}= 2.8589 times the sensor lifetime which is assumed to be 1; i.e. 285%.

The following tables depict subsets’ coverage times together with the respective sensor subsets for each value of \(\alpha\) from approximately 0.6 to 0.95

**Table III, \(\alpha\leq 0.65\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\alpha)</th>
<th>Subsets</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Coverage Failure Probability CFP</th>
<th>Coverage Time CT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,2,3,4</td>
<td>0.6015</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown, there is only one subset for this \(\alpha\) value, in which all sensors 1,2,3 and 4 operate in the same time, making network lifetime to be a maximum of 1.

**Table IV, \(\alpha>0.75\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\alpha)</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Coverage Failure Probability CFP</th>
<th>Coverage Time CT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2,3,4</td>
<td>0.6015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\alpha)</th>
<th>CFP</th>
<th>CT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.6015</td>
<td>1.1630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.6919</td>
<td>0.7967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.7270</td>
<td>1.1411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.6090</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It can be seen that as α is increased to 0.75, four subsets are assigned to operate at different times, thus elongating network lifetime to 2.8589 times the sensor lifetime.

### Table V, α<0.85

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>α</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>CFP</th>
<th>CT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1,2,3,4</td>
<td>0.6015</td>
<td>1.4154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1,2,4</td>
<td>0.6919</td>
<td>1.0943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1,3,4</td>
<td>0.8349</td>
<td>0.5864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>0.7270</td>
<td>0.9696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>2,3,4</td>
<td>0.6900</td>
<td>1.3887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>0.8464</td>
<td>0.5456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown here, 6 different subsets are selected for α<0.85, elongating network lifetime further to 3.45, whereas Table VI depicts maximum network lifetime of 3.85 when a value of α of less than 0.95 is chosen. Seven different sensors subsets are selected, on the expense of high failure probability values.

### Table VI, α<0.95 (for the following two tables)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>α</th>
<th>Subsets (SS)</th>
<th>Coverage Failure Probability</th>
<th>Coverage Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9460</td>
<td>0.2168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,2,3,4</td>
<td>0.9600</td>
<td>1.6001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,2,4</td>
<td>0.6015</td>
<td>6.6010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,2,4</td>
<td>0.6900</td>
<td>1.3887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>0.8464</td>
<td>0.5456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>α</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>CFP</th>
<th>CT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1,3,4</td>
<td>0.6015</td>
<td>1.0962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>0.7270</td>
<td>0.6900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,3,4</td>
<td>0.6900</td>
<td>1.5700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>0.8464</td>
<td>0.6168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen that as demanded failure probability is increased, network lifetime is increased, but saturated to a maximum value of 4 since there are 4 sensor lifetimes which can be operated individually. Further, it is shown that network lifetime is dropped to a value of 1 when α reaches the value of least failure probability of all sensors. This would reduce options of manipulating with failure probabilities and the network lifetime options. As stated, if one sensor is shared with more than one subset, then the total activation time of that sensor cannot exceed its normalized lifetime.

To find all sensors coverage contributing subsets, a more general algorithm can be used in which there exists a maximum of 2^n subsets for n sensors. Subsets which contain only one sensor, cannot increase network lifetime more than reference 1. Such implementation of switchable sensors subsets can be achieved using microcontrollers or smart sensors.

### VIII. CONCLUSION

Algorithms of ad hoc wireless sensors network, have been implemented and simulated on Matlab platform. The sensors lifetimes have been maximize according to the coverage failure probabilities of all sensors subsets which cover all target zones. The algorithms can be applied to any number of sensors and target zones in any random fashion.

A case study of 4 sensors targeting 3 zones, has been used in a step-by-step simulations, for different values of alpha (minimum sensors coverage failure probability) from 0.65 to 0.95, in which the normalized network lifetime is increased from 1 to 3.85.

As expected, it has been shown that network lifetime can be increased with increasing alpha as well as reducing individual sensors failure probabilities.
REFERENCES


